The initiation of transverse matrix cracking and longitudinal matrix cracking in composite cross-ply laminates: Analysis of a damage criterion
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Abstract: The results of stress field distribution in damage cross-ply laminates prompt us to elaborate an energy criterion. This criterion is based on the partial part's computation of the strain energy release rate associated with each damage type and for all loading modes. In the related criterion, based on the linear fracture approach, several hypotheses are used to simplify the damage criterion. The main objective with this approach is to estimate the onset of transverse and longitudinal cracking mechanisms and the development of the damage. Only results from numerical simulations are proposed for one material; numerous numerical simulations, with other materials and laminate architectures, give similar evolutions of matrix cracking damage.
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1. Introduction

In the transport industry, aeronautics, automobiles, trains, boats, and designers need good estimates of the performance of structural parts made from composite materials with long fibre and polymer matrix. In laminates subjected to both static and fatigue loading, several damage modes, such as matrix cracking, delamination or fibre break may appear in turn. Although composite
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laminates are extensively used in many structural applications because of their high strength to weight ratio, their durability still needs to be carefully assessed. For this purpose, it is desirable to be able to rely on a suitable damage-growth criterion. The objective of this work is to evaluate the transition between the different types of damage and to study their evolution. So, we propose an energy criterion for evaluating the onset of transverse matrix cracking and longitudinal matrix cracking in composite cross-ply laminates.

The main objective of this study, which is new, is to analyse the total energy criterion in order to determine the threshold of various damage modes. This energy criterion method is based on the computation of the strain energy release rate of the whole laminate associated with each damage mode. With this criterion, we have analysed the strain energy release rates of the whole laminate in order to separate component parts related to each component of the stress tensor on one hand and, on the other hand, with these results, the different damage mechanisms for each loading mode (mode I, mode II or mode III) are considered. With the damage criterion, the originality is that the total strain energy release rate is replaced by the part of it which is directly related to one specific damage mechanism. For each damage mechanism, the contributions of the loading modes have also been separated.

In the literature, a lot of work has been done to investigate the development of cracking damage in cross-ply laminates and several types of criteria have been proposed (Akshantala & Talreja, 2000; Brewer & Lagace, 1988; Dakshina Moorthy & Reddy, 1999; Hinton, Kaddour, & Soden, 2004; Nairn & Hu, 1992; Ogihara & Takeda, 1995; Pereira, de Morais, Marques, & de Castro, 2004; Xia, Chen, & Ellyin, 2000), among them maximum are stress-based approaches. Other kinds of criteria (Rebière, 1992; Rebière & Gamby, 2004) rely on the energy release rates associated with each type of damage mode.

Experimentally, in cross-ply laminates subjected to uniaxial static or fatigue tensile loading, it was observed that the damage mechanisms sequences are as follows. The first type of damage is generally transverse matrix cracking. After transverse cracking damage, at the crack tip level, there is a high concentration of interlaminar stress. Generally, this high interlaminar stress causes on one hand the separation of the layers at ply interfaces with different directions, and/or on the other hand matrix cracking between fibres in the layers parallel to the loading axes. Longitudinal cracking and/or delamination are subsequent developments of transverse cracking damage. For some stacking sequences, the second damage observed is longitudinal damage.

In this article, the energy damage criterion has already been validated for the general case of the whole laminate. We propose an extension of the criterion with the analysis and its computation method. In this article, only results of numerical simulations are proposed for one material. For other materials, behaviours were investigated.

2. Model

2.1. Problem statement

The specimen studied is confined to a \([0^\circ, 90^\circ]_s\) composite cross-ply laminate as represented in Figure 1. The parameters used to describe the laminate architecture are the \(\lambda\) coefficient \((\lambda = t_{0^\circ}/t_{90^\circ})\) where \(t_{0^\circ}\) is the \(0^\circ\) ply thickness and \(t_{90^\circ}\) is the \(90^\circ\) ply thickness) and the thicknesses of the \(0^\circ\) and \(90^\circ\) plies. The middle plane (xoy plane) of the laminate is a symmetrical plane.

Methodology: To evaluate the strain energy release rates, the laminate is assumed to have been previously damaged by transverse and/or longitudinal cracks (Figures 1 and 2). The accepted assumptions for the crack geometries in the two layers of the laminate are as follows: all the cracks are assumed to have a rectangular plane geometry, and each crack extends over the whole thickness and the whole width of the 90° damaged ply. Similar assumptions are made for the longitudinal cracks in the 0° layers. Moreover, crack distribution is assumed to be uniform along both x and y axes. With these assumptions, it is sufficient to study the “Unit damaged cell” represented in Figure 2. This “Unit
damaged cell” lies between two consecutive transverse cracks and two consecutive longitudinal cracks. We are giving a summary of the method used to estimate the stress field distribution in the cracked and delaminated laminate. The analytical model is based on a variational approach relying on the proper choice of a statically admissible stress field. In the damaged laminate, the stress field in the two layers has the following form:

\[
\sigma_{ij}^{(k)} = \sigma_{ij}^{0(k)} + \sigma_{ij}^{P(k)}
\]  

(1)

For the undamaged laminate loaded on the \(x\) axis, the layers undergo a uniform plane stress state \(\sigma_{ij}^{0(k)}\) obtained by the laminate plate theory (where \(k\) is the ply index, \(k = 0^\circ, 90^\circ\)). The orthogonal cracks induce stress perturbations in the \(0^\circ\) and \(90^\circ\) layers which are denoted \(\sigma_{ij}^{P(k)}\) (Rebière, Maâtallah, & Gamby, 2001).

2.2. Strain energy release rate

For a given stress state, the strain energy release rate \(G\) associated with the initiation and development of the crack damage or delamination damage is defined by the following expression:

\[
G = \frac{d}{dA} \bar{U}(\sigma, A) \quad \text{with} \quad \bar{U}_d = N.M.U_{cel}
\]  

(2)

where \(\bar{U}_d\) is the strain energy of the whole laminate and \(A\) is the cracked area. Let \(L_x\) denote the laminate length on the \(x\) axis, \(L_y\) being its width on the \(y\) axis (Figure 1). The strain energy in the damaged unit cell is denoted by \(U_{cel}\), \(N(N = L_x/2t_{90°})\) is the number of transverse cracks and \(M(M = L_y/2t_{90°})\) is the number of longitudinal cracks. Using dimensionless quantities, \(x = x/t_{90°}\), \(y = y/t_{90°}\), \(z = z/t_{90°}\).
\[ \dot{h} = \frac{d}{dt} \frac{h}{t_{90}} \quad a = \frac{a}{t_{90}} \quad b = \frac{b}{t_{90}} \quad \text{and the constraining parameter is } \lambda = t_{90} / t_{90}. \] The transverse crack density is defined by \( d_t \), \( (d_t = 1/2a) \) and the longitudinal crack density is \( d_l \), \( (d_l = 1/2b) \). \( A_t \) represents the crack area \( (A_t = L_t L_2 (1/a + \lambda/b)) \).

The strain energy release rate associated with transverse cracking is denoted \( G_{FT} \). The strain energy release rate related with longitudinal cracking is denoted \( G_{FL} \).

\[
G_{FT} = \frac{dU_d}{dA_f} = \frac{dU_d}{dA_f} \cdot \frac{dA_f}{db} \quad G_{FL} = \frac{dU_d}{dA_f} = \frac{dU_d}{dA_f} \frac{db}{db}
\] (3)

\[
G_{FT} = \frac{1}{2bt_{90}^2} (U_{cel} - a \frac{dU_{cel}}{da}) \quad G_{FL} = \frac{1}{2bt_{90}^2} (U_{cel} - b \frac{dU_{cel}}{db})
\] (4)

2.3. Analysis of the strain energy release rate

The strain energy (2) is decomposed in order to obtain the contributions of all the component products of the stress tensor to the strain energy. The \( U_i \) are related to the products in pairs of the components of the stress tensor as given in the following expression:

\[
\ddot{U}_i = 2.N.M. (U_0^0 + U_i^0) = \frac{L_t L_2}{2a b t_{90}} (U_0^0 + U_i^0) = \frac{L_t L_2}{2a b t_{90}} U_i
\] (5)

where the eight parts of the energy \( U_i^0 \) are defined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
U_0^0 &= U_{xx}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{xx}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_1^0 &= U_{yy}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{yy}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_2^0 &= U_{zz}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{zz}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_3^0 &= U_{xy}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{xy}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_4^0 &= U_{xz}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{xz}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_5^0 &= U_{yz}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{yz}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_6^0 &= U_{xz}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{xz}^{00} dxdydz \\
U_7^0 &= U_{yz}^0 = \frac{1}{2E} \int V_0 \sigma_{yz}^{00} dxdydz
\end{align*}
\] (6)

The contribution of each selected component pair to the strain energy release rate is such that:

\[
G_k^i = \frac{dU_i^0}{dx} \quad \text{where} \quad i = x, y, z \quad \text{and} \quad k = 0^o, 90^o
\] (7)

The main objective of this paper is to propose appropriate approximations of the strain energy release rates for each type of damage (transverse cracking and longitudinal cracking) for the three damage modes (mode I (opening mode), mode II (sliding mode) and mode III (tearing mode)) (Lemaître & Chaboche, 1988/1990; Rebière & Gamby, 2004). We computed all the parts of the strain energy release rates that can be attributed to each stress component. Thus, the total strain energy release rate is replaced by only one of its components in (6) to describe the evolution of each damage mechanism and loading mode.

The estimation of the strain energy release rate, associated with the loading mode (mode I, II and III) (Mayes & Hansen, 2004) for the transverse crack damage (FT) and longitudinal crack damage (FL) are obtained with the following expressions:
We have estimated each one of the component of the strain energy release rates associated with each type of damage and for each fracture mode (8). To predict the evolution of the different damage mechanisms, a damage criterion is proposed. Each estimated quantity was compared to the associated critical value of the strain energy release rate.

For instance, observing the transverse cracking damage, we replaced the total strain energy release rate by the approximate quantity relative to the opening mode (mode I) and the sliding mode (mode II). The two computed values are compared to associated critical values \( G_{crf} \). For example in Wang and Crossman (1980), Wang, Kishore, and Li (1985) \( G_{crf} \) values for a graphite epoxy system are given. Observing the initiation and development of other types of damage, longitudinal cracking and the transverse crack density is set in place. Concerning this crack density value, the partial strain energy release rates (8) are estimated. All the partial values are compared to critical values \( G_{crf} \) in the criterion (9). The strain energy release rates pertaining to each damage mechanism and mode are governed by the variation of this type of damage, other types of damage being imposed.

3. Results
The energy criterion proposed is as the elastic linear fracture-based approach. With this criterion, the analysis of the strain energy release rate associated with each type of damage is used. A by-product of this study is the assessment of the architecture and material system influence on the onset of transverse cracking and longitudinal cracking. The parameters used are the constraining parameter, the thickness of the two 0° and 90° layers and the constituent material system. The constraining parameter is \( \lambda \) (\( \lambda = \frac{m}{n} \)), where \( n \) is the number of plies at 90° and \( m \) is the number of plies at 0°. In all the proposed results, numerical simulations are carried out for a prescribed uniaxial loading of 150 MPa. The composite material system studied is a graphite/epoxy T300–934 system (see Table 1).

In some numerical simulations, the partial parts of the strain energy release rates, associated with the onset of transverse cracking and longitudinal cracking are normalized by the critical strain energy release rate. The critical value of the strain energy release rate associated with transverse and longitudinal cracking initiation is denoted \( G_{crf} \).

In Figure 3 the data pertains to a graphite/epoxy laminate and the results concern the analysis of the strain energy release rate versus the constraining parameter (\( \lambda \)). We note the evolution of the

\[
G^{FT}_I = \frac{dU_{xx}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\alpha}{dA_f}, \quad G^{FT}_{II} = \frac{dU_{xz}}{d\alpha} \cdot \frac{d\alpha}{dA_f} \\
G^{FT}_I = \frac{dU_{yy}}{db} \cdot \frac{db}{dA_f}, \quad G^{FT}_{II} = \frac{dU_{yz}}{db} \cdot \frac{db}{dA_f}
\]

Table 1. Mechanical properties and ply thickness for T300/934 graphite epoxy system

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon/epoxy</th>
<th>140</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( E_{11} ) (GPa)</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( E_{22} ) (GPa)</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_{12} ) (GPa)</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_{11} ) (GPa)</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{12} )</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \nu_{11} )</td>
<td>0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ply thickness (mm)</td>
<td>0.125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( G_{crf} ) (J/m²)</td>
<td>228</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
analysis of by-product in the 90° layer of the laminate versus the constraining parameter. The part due to stress in the loading direction of the laminate is overriding.

In Figure 4, the results to the numerical simulations confirm two main points: the proposed approach proves with experimental data that the initiation of transverse cracking is the first damage mode. It also predicts the readiness to initiate the three types of damage in the case of an eight-ply laminate containing a thick 90° layer.

The result in Figure 4 shows that the part attributed to the 90° is the most important part of the strain energy release rate. This value is reported on Figure 3 (the green curve).
Figure 5 shows only numerical simulations of the strain energy release rate evolutions associated with longitudinal cracking. The analysis proposed is similar to the results exposed on the Figure 3, but for the estimation of the partial part of the strain energy associated with longitudinal damage. The result in Figure 6 shows that the part is attributed to the 0° on the strain energy release rate. This value is reported on Figure 5 (the green curve).

We can also observe that the strain energy release rates, $G_{FT}$ and $G_{FL}$, have similar variation laws. All the strain energy release rates are modelled by decreasing functions of the constraining parameter $\lambda$. For instance, in an eight-ply laminate, when the value of the constraining parameter $\lambda$ is increased, the thickness of the 0° plies becomes greater. In this case, the fibres in the 0° plies carry most of the tensile loading, so the onset of the three different damage modes is delayed.

**Figure 6.** Variation of the layer contribution to the strain energy release rate ($G_{FL} = G_{FL}^{0} + G_{FL}^{90}$), for transverse damage with the constraining parameter $\lambda$.

**Figure 7.** The onset of transverse cracks in a 8-ply laminate with constraining parameter $\lambda$ is a result of the breaking modes $G_{I}/G_{FT}$, $G_{II}/G_{FT}$.

**Table 2. Stress components with different mechanisms and loading modes**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode</th>
<th>Transverse cracking</th>
<th>Longitudinal cracking</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mode I</td>
<td>$\sigma_{xx}^{90}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{yy}^{0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode II</td>
<td>$\sigma_{zz}^{90}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{zz}^{0}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode III</td>
<td>$\sigma_{xy}^{90}$</td>
<td>$\sigma_{xy}^{0}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5 shows only numerical simulations of the strain energy release rate evolutions associated with longitudinal cracking. The analysis proposed is similar to the results exposed on the Figure 3, but for the estimation of the partial part of the strain energy associated with longitudinal damage. The result in Figure 6 shows that the part is attributed to the 0° on the strain energy release rate. This value is reported on Figure 5 (the green curve).

We can also observe that the strain energy release rates, $G_{FT}$ and $G_{FL}$, have similar variation laws. All the strain energy release rates are modelled by decreasing functions of the constraining parameter $\lambda$. For instance, in an eight-ply laminate, when the value of the constraining parameter $\lambda$ is increased, the thickness of the 0° plies becomes greater. In this case, the fibres in the 0° plies carry most of the tensile loading, so the onset of the three different damage modes is delayed.
The main objective of this paper is to present results of an energy criterion to estimate the onset of several damage mechanisms. The proposed results are about numerical simulations pertaining to various approximations. These approximations concern the analysis of the strain energy release rate associated with the different damage mechanisms and fracture modes. In Table 2, the proposed analysis of the strain energy release rate, associated with the onset of transverse cracks and longitudinal cracks, is also related to the different loading modes (mode I (opening mode), mode II (sliding mode) and mode III (tearing mode)) which control the three types of damage. With this analysis (Figures 3 and 5), we can observe the part of each component of the stress tensor and their influence on the evolution of the damage. In Figures 7 and 8, the results of the numerical simulations show that transverse cracking and longitudinal cracking are initiated in opening mode (mode I).

Numerous numerical simulations, with other materials and laminate architectures, give similar evolutions of cracking damage. The analysis of the strain energy release rate associated with the onset of the transverse and longitudinal cracks highlights the different modes that induce the two damage modes. Thus, thanks to the proposed numerical simulations, we can conclude that transverse cracking is mostly controlled by normal stress $\sigma_{xx}^{(90)}$, whereas longitudinal cracking is mostly controlled by normal stress $\sigma_{yy}^{(90)}$.

4. Conclusion

The purpose of this paper is to give an approximate expression of the strain energy release rate associated with each cracking mechanism and mode. The computation of the “partial” strain energy release rates is achieved as shown in Table 2. The related analysis was numerically assessed to understand and predict the most probable damage mechanism occurrence. In the type of the cross-ply laminates investigated, several damage mechanisms appear, these mechanisms can be followed with this kind of approach.

Using some simplified hypotheses, the relevant fracture mechanism and mode are predicted through a strain energy release rate criterion. From the proposed approach, several results can be obtained: it is confirmed that transverse matrix cracking is usually the first damage mechanism observed. In all the cases investigated, transverse cracking and longitudinal cracking are initiated in opening mode (mode I).
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