

# Stability Analysis of Delayed Neural Networks With Slope-Bounded Activation Functions

Xiang Xie, Rong Zhang

## Accepted Manuscript Version

This is the unedited version of the article as it appeared upon acceptance by the journal. A final edited version of the article in the journal format will be made available soon.

As a service to authors and researchers we publish this version of the accepted manuscript (AM) as soon as possible after acceptance. Copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof will be undertaken on this manuscript before final publication of the Version of Record (VoR). Please note that during production and pre-press, errors may be discovered which could affect the content.

© 2017 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

**Publisher:** Cogent OA

**Journal:** *Cogent Mathematics*

**DOI:** <http://doi.org/10.1080/23311835.2017.1366286>

# Stability Analysis of Delayed Neural Networks With Slope-Bounded Activation Functions

Xiang Xie, Rong Zhang

**Abstract**—This paper deals with the global asymptotic stability problem of delayed neural networks with unbounded activation functions and network parameter uncertainties. New stability criteria for global asymptotic stability of the delayed neural networks are derived by employing suitable Lyapunov functionals. These results reported in this paper can be regarded as generalizations of some existing stability results. The effectiveness and usefulness of the obtained results can be verified by comparing our results with the previously published results.

**Index Terms**—Delayed neural networks, Equilibrium point, Lyapunov functionals, Robust stability.

Xiang Xie is with the School of Energy and Power Engineering, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China (e-mail: xiang\_xie@outlook.com)

Rong Zhang is with Huazhong University of Science and Technology Library, Wuhan, Hubei 430074, China (e-mail: 82304356@qq.com)

## I. INTRODUCTION

In the past decades, there has been a steady increase in the interest on the applications of dynamical neural networks in solving various classes of engineering problems such as image and signal processing, associative memory design, combinatorial optimization and pattern recognition. In fact, most of these practical applications need the process information to be in the form of stable states. Hence, in order to apply neural networks to solve these practical problems, the stability property of the equilibrium point for the designed neural networks will be crucially essential.

For a biological neural network or artificial neural network, time delays are sometimes unavoidable to be taken into account. For instance, in electronic circuits of neural networks, time delays will always occur during the signal procession and transmission, which may lead to oscillation and deteriorate the stability performance. In addition, due to the existence of external disturbances and parameter fluctuations, uncertainties are other critical issues that may destabilize the neural networks. Therefore, robust stability analysis of neural networks in the presence of time delays and uncertainties will be of theoretical and practical importance.

Traditionally, the activation functions of neural networks being considered are continuous, differentiable, monotonically increasing and bounded (see in [1-4]), which limits their practical applications. Hence, in order to solve practical engineering problems such as those in electronic circuits (the input-output functions of amplifiers are always not monotonically increasing or continuously differentiable), non-monotonic or non-differentiable functions are more suitable to describe the neuron activations and have been extensively studied (see in [5-10]). Moreover, in [11-13], sufficient conditions for robust stability of delayed neural network are established, in which the neuron activations belong to a set of discontinuous monotone increasing and unbounded functions. In [5, 8, 14], without assuming the boundedness and global Lipschitz continuity of activations, sufficient conditions for global asymptotic stability of delayed neural networks are constructed. Many results about stability of neural networks and complex systems can be found in the literature, for example, see [15-18, 22-27].

Motivated by the above discussions, in this paper, we will focus on investigating better sufficient conditions ensuring the existence, uniqueness and global asymptotic stability for delayed neural networks. The obtained results can be regarded as generalizations of the previously published corresponding results by the following improvements. 1) A more general class of activation functions are presented and they are not required to be bounded, differentiable, and monotonically increasing. Different from existing results in [19-21], the slope of this class of activation functions exists both upper and lower bounds, and they may be positive, negative, or zero. 2) More information of the states, activation functions and upper bounds of the delay derivative of the time varying delays are taken into consideration. A new Lyapunov functional is constructed and utilized to derive sufficient conditions to guarantee the global asymptotic stability of the neural network.

## II. PROBLEM DESCRIPTION AND PRELIMINARIES

In this paper, we will study the robust stability of the following delayed neural network model:

$$\dot{x}_i(t) = -c_i x_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} f_j(x_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} f_j(x_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) + u_i \quad (1)$$

where  $n$  is the number of the neurons,  $x_i(t)$  denotes the state of the neuron  $i$ , and  $c_i$  is the charging rate for the neuron  $i$ .  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  denote the strengths of connectivity between neurons  $j$  and  $i$  at time  $t$  and  $t - \tau_{ij}(t)$ , respectively.  $u_i$  is the constant input to the  $i$ -th neuron.  $f_j(x_j(t))$  denotes the  $j$ -th neuron activation function. The delay parameters are time-varying and denoted by  $\tau_{ij}(t)$ .

The uncertainties in the network parameters  $A = (a_{ij})$ ,  $B = (b_{ij})$  and  $C = \text{diag}(c_i > 0)$  can be formulated as follows:

$$C_I := \{C = \text{diag}(c_i) : 0 < \underline{C} \leq C \leq \bar{C}, \text{ i.e., } 0 < \underline{c}_i \leq c_i \leq \bar{c}_i, \forall i\}$$

$$A_I := \{A = (a_{ij}): \underline{A} \leq A \leq \bar{A}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{a}_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \bar{a}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\} \quad (2)$$

$$B_I := \{B = (b_{ij}): \underline{B} \leq B \leq \bar{B}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{b}_{ij} \leq b_{ij} \leq \bar{b}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

The activation functions are assumed to be slope-bounded and satisfy the following condition:

$$\underline{k}_i \leq \frac{f_i(x) - f_i(y)}{x - y} \leq \bar{k}_i$$

with  $k_i = \max\{|\underline{k}_i|, |\bar{k}_i|\}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ,  $\forall x, y \in R, x \neq y$ .

This class of functions will be denoted by  $f \in \kappa$ . As described above, this class of activation functions do not require to be bounded, differentiable and monotonically increasing, and  $\underline{k}_i$  and  $\bar{k}_i$  may be positive, negative, or zero. Unlike the previously corresponding activation functions in [19, 24-27], whose activation functions are assumed to be monotonic or bounded. Thus, the condition proposed on the activation functions in this paper are weaker than those in [19, 24-27].

*Lemma 1* [29]: Let  $A$  be any real matrix defined by  $A \in A_I :=$

$\{A = (a_{ij}): \underline{A} \leq A \leq \bar{A}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{a}_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \bar{a}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, for any two vectors  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in R^n$  and  $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)^T \in R^n$ , the following inequality holds:

$$2x^T A y \leq \rho \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i y_i^2$$

where  $\rho$  is any positive constant, and  $\alpha_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{a}_{ki} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{a}_{kj})$ ,  $\hat{a}_{ij} = \max\{|\underline{a}_{ij}|, |\bar{a}_{ij}|\}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Lemma 2* [28]: Let  $A$  be any real matrix defined by  $A \in A_I :=$

$\{A = (a_{ij}): \underline{A} \leq A \leq \bar{A}, \text{ i.e., } \underline{a}_{ij} \leq a_{ij} \leq \bar{a}_{ij}, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, for any positive diagonal matrix  $P = \text{diag}(p_i > 0)$  and for any vector  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)^T \in R^n$ , the following inequality holds:

$$x^T (PA + A^T P) x \leq - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_i^2$$

where  $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  with  $s_{ii} = -2p_i \bar{a}_{ii}$  and  $s_{ij} = -\max(|p_i \bar{a}_{ij} + p_j \bar{a}_{ji}|, |p_i a_{ij} + p_j a_{ji}|)$  for  $i \neq j$ .

### III. ROBUST STABILITY RESULTS

In this section, we will present sufficient conditions for robust asymptotic stability of equilibrium point of delayed neural network (1). We first shift the equilibrium point  $x^*$  of system (1) to the origin.

The transformation  $z_i(\cdot) = x_i(\cdot) - x_i^*$  is used to put system (1) in the following form:

$$\frac{dz_i(t)}{dt} = -c_i z_i(t) + \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} g_j(z_j(t)) + \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} g_j(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \quad (3)$$

where  $g_i(z_i(\cdot)) = f_i(z_i(\cdot) + x_i^*) - f_i(x_i^*)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Note that it can easily be verified that the function  $g_i$  satisfies the assumptions on  $f_i$ , that is,

$$\underline{k}_i \leq \frac{g_i(z_i(t))}{z_i(t)} \leq \bar{k}_i$$

$\forall z_i(t) \in R, z_i(t) \neq 0$  and  $g_i(0) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Since  $z(t) \rightarrow 0$  implies  $x \rightarrow x^*$ , it is thus necessary and sufficient to prove the stability of the transformed model (3) instead of considering stability of the original one (1).

*Theorem 1*: For the delayed neural network (18), if the network parameters satisfy (2) and  $g \in \kappa$ , the time-varying delays satisfy that  $0 \leq \dot{\tau}_{ij}(t) \leq \eta < 1, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, the neural network (3) is globally

asymptotically stable, if there exist a positive diagonal matrix  $P = \text{diag}(p_i > 0)$  and some positive constants  $\rho, \delta$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_i &= 2c_i - \rho - \frac{1}{\rho}\alpha_i k_i^2 - p_M - \frac{n\mu}{1-\eta} + 2p_i c_i k_i \\ &+ \beta_i k_i^2 - \delta p_M k_i^2 > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (4) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha_i = \sum_{k=1}^n (\hat{a}_{ki} \sum_{j=1}^n \hat{a}_{kj})$ ,  $\hat{a}_{ij} = \max\{|a_{ij}|, |\bar{a}_{ij}|\}$  and  $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n s_{ij}$  with  $s_{ii} = -2p_i \bar{a}_{ii}$  and  $s_{ij} = -\max(|p_i \bar{a}_{ij} + p_j \bar{a}_{ji}|, |p_i a_{ij} + p_j a_{ji}|)$  for  $i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

*Proof:* We employ the following positive definite Lyapunov functional:

$$\begin{aligned} V(t) &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \frac{\mu}{1-\eta} \right) \int_{t-\tau_{ij}(t)}^t z_i^2(s) ds \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{z_i(t)} p_i g_i(s) ds \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon \int_{t-\tau_{ij}(t)}^t g_i^2(z_i(s)) ds \quad (5) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\mu, \varepsilon$  are some constants to be determined later.

Taking the time derivative of the functional (5) along the trajectories of (3), noting  $\frac{1-\dot{\tau}_{ij}(t)}{1-\eta} \geq 1, i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) &= -2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i z_i^2(t) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i(t) g_j(z_j(t)) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} z_i(t) g_j(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu}{1-\eta} z_i^2(t) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu z_i^2(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\ &- 2 \sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i z_i(t) g_i(z_i(t)) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i a_{ij} g_i(z_i(t)) g_j(z_j(t)) \\ &+ 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i b_{ij} g_i(z_i(t)) g_j(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ &- \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \cdot (1 - \dot{\tau}_{ij}(t)) \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon g_i^2(z_i(t)). \quad (6) \end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1, for any positive constant  $\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} z_i(t) g_j(z_j(t)) \\ \leq \rho \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2(t) + \frac{1}{\rho} \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i k_i^2 z_i^2(t). \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

We note the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n b_{ij} z_i(t) g_j(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i z_i^2(t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{n \hat{b}_{ij}^2}{p_i} g_j^2(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ \leq p_M \sum_{i=1}^n z_i^2(t) \\ + \frac{1}{p_m} \|B\|_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))). \quad (8) \end{aligned}$$

For  $g \in \kappa$ , since  $\frac{g_i(z_i(t))}{z_i(t)} \leq \bar{k}_i$ , with  $k_i = \max\{|k_i|, |\bar{k}_i|\}$  we have

$$-2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i z_i(t) g_i(z_i(t)) \leq -2\sum_{i=1}^n c_i p_i k_i z_i^2(t) \quad (9)$$

In the light of Lemma 2, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i a_{ij} g_i(z_i(t)) g_j(z_j(t)) \\ \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i g_i^2(z_i(t)) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i k_i z_i^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Similar to (8), we also notice the following inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} 2\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i b_{ij} g_i(z_i(t)) g_j(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ \leq \frac{\delta}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n p_i g_i^2(z_i(t)) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{np_i}{\delta} b_{ij}^2 g_j^2(z_j(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \delta p_M k_i z_i^2(t) \quad (11) \\ + \frac{p_M}{\delta} \|\hat{B}\|_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting (7)-(11) to (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (-2c_i + \rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \alpha_i k_i^2 + p_M) z_i^2(t) \\ + \frac{1}{p_m} \|B\|_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\mu}{1-\eta} z_i^2(t) \\ - \mu \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n z_i^2(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\ - 2\sum_{i=1}^n p_i c_i k_i z_i^2(t) - \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i k_i z_i^2(t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \delta p_M k_i z_i^2(t) + \frac{p_M}{\delta} \|\hat{B}\|_1^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon \cdot k_i z_i^2(t). \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

By choosing  $\mu = \frac{1}{p_m} \|\hat{B}\|_1^2 \|L\|_2^2 + \frac{p_M}{\delta} \|\hat{B}\|_1^2 \|L\|_2^2$ ,  $L = \text{diag}(k_i > 0)$ , then the above inequality (12) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n (-2c_i + \rho + \frac{1}{\rho} \alpha_i k_i^2 + p_M \\ + \frac{n\mu}{1-\eta} - 2p_i c_i k_i - \beta_i k_i^2 + \delta p_M k_i^2) z_i^2(t) \\ + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon \cdot k_i z_i^2(t) \\ \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \Phi_i z_i^2(t) + \sum_{i=1}^n n\varepsilon \cdot k_i z_i^2(t) \\ \leq -(\Phi_m - n\varepsilon \|L\|_2^2) \|z(t)\|_2^2 \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Phi_i = 2c_i - \rho - \frac{1}{\rho} \alpha_i k_i^2 - p_M - \frac{n\mu}{1-\eta} + 2p_i c_i k_i + \beta_i k_i^2 - \delta p_M k_i^2$  and  $\Phi_m = \min\{\Phi_i\}$ . Let  $\varepsilon < \frac{\Phi_m}{n\|L\|_2^2}$  in (13).

Since  $\Phi_i > 0$ , so  $\dot{V}(z(t))$  is negative definite for all  $z(t) \neq 0$ . Now consider the case when  $z(t) = 0$ , note that  $z(t) = 0$  implies that  $g(z(t)) = 0$ . Then, substituting it into (6),  $\dot{V}(z(t))$  becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}(t) \leq -\sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \mu z_i^2(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) \\ - \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \varepsilon g_i^2(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) (1 - \dot{\tau}_{ij}(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

From the above inequality, we conclude that  $\dot{V}(z(t)) < 0$  if there exists at least one nonzero  $g_i(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t)))$ , implying that  $\dot{V}(t) = 0$  if and only if  $z_i(t) = z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t)) = g(z_i(t)) = g(z_i(t - \tau_{ij}(t))) = 0$  for all  $i, j$ , and  $\dot{V}(z(t)) < 0$ , otherwise. In addition,  $V(z(t))$  is radially unbounded since  $V(t) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\|z(t)\| \rightarrow \infty$ . Hence, the

origin of system (3), equivalently the equilibrium point, is globally asymptotically stable under the conditions given in Theorem 2. This completes the proof.

#### IV. COMPARISONS AND EXAMPLES

We now consider the following example to compare our results with those previous results given above:

*Example 1:* Assume that the networks parameters of the delayed neural network (1) are given as follows:

$$\underline{A} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{B} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \bar{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\underline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_m & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_m & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_m & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_m \end{bmatrix},$$

$\eta = 0$  and  $k_1 = k_2 = k_3 = k_4 = 1$ .

The matrices  $A^*, A_*, B^*, B_*, \hat{A}, \hat{B}$  are obtained as follows:

$$A^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, A_* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$B^* = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, B_* = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\hat{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \hat{B} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and we can calculate

$$\sigma_1(A) = \sqrt{\| |A^{*T} A^*| + 2|A^{*T} A_* + A_*^T A^* \|_2} = 2$$

$$\sigma_2(A) = \|A^*\|_2 + \|A_*\|_2 = 2$$

$$\sigma_3(A) = \sqrt{\|A^*\|_2^2 + \|A_*\|_2^2 + 2\|A_*^T A^*\|_2} = 2$$

$$\sigma_4(A) = \|\hat{A}\|_2 = 2$$

$$\sigma_1(B) = \sigma_1(B) = \sigma_1(B) = \sigma_1(B) = 1.41$$

$$\|\hat{B}\|_1 = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \sum_{j=1}^4 |\hat{b}_{ji}| = 1, \|\hat{B}\|_\infty = \max_{1 \leq i \leq 4} \sum_{j=1}^4 |\hat{b}_{ij}| = 2.$$

It implies that  $\sigma_m(A) = 2, \sigma_m(B) = 1.41$ .

Now let us apply the result of Theorem 1 to this example. In this case, we first note that  $P = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$

and we can calculate  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 = \alpha_4 = 4, P_M = 2, P_m = 1, \beta_1 = -8, \beta_2 = -7, \beta_3 = -4, \beta_4 = -5, \mu = 3$ .

Let  $\rho = 2, \delta = 1$ , the parameters of this example  $\Phi_i$  in Theorem 1 can be calculated as

$$\Phi_1 = 6c_m - 19, \Phi_2 = 6c_m - 18,$$

$$\Phi_3 = 4c_m - 13, \Phi_4 = 4c_m - 14,$$

in which the robust stability conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied if  $c_m > 3.5$ .

In the case of applying the result of Theorem 11 in [16] for this example, we obtain

$$\Psi = \begin{bmatrix} 4c_m - 12.32 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4c_m - 12.32 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2c_m - 12.32 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2c_m - 12.32 \end{bmatrix}$$

from which it can be calculated that  $\Psi > 0$  if and only if  $c_m > 6.16$ , meaning that the sufficient condition for robust stability is obtained when  $c_m > 6.16$ . In order to compare the result of Theorem 12 in [16] for this example, we first get

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} -4 & -2 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & -4 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & -2 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ the matrix } \Theta \text{ is obtained in the form of}$$

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} 4c_m - 9.64 & -2 & 0 & -2 \\ -2 & 4c_m - 9.64 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 2c_m - 7.64 & -1 \\ -2 & 0 & -1 & 2c_m - 7.64 \end{bmatrix}$$

The choice  $c_m > 4.52$  implies that  $\Theta > 0$  which guarantees the global robust stability of system (1). When checking the constraint condition of Theorem 2 in [28] for the network parameters of this example, we obtain  $\zeta_i = 4c_m - 14, \zeta_i = 4c_m - 13, \zeta_i = 2c_m - 10, \zeta_i = 2c_m - 11$ . Hence, for the network parameter of this example, we note that the conditions of Theorem 2 in [28] are satisfied if  $c_m > 5.5$ . In the case of applying the result of Theorem 2 in [20], we obtain  $\epsilon = c_m - 4$ , in which the robust stability conditions are satisfied if  $c_m > 4$ .

## V. CONCLUSION

This paper has studied the robust stability problem of neural networks with time-varying delays. An appropriate Lyapunov functional is constructed to derive sufficient conditions to ensure their globally asymptotic stability. The obtained results can not only be used to testify the dynamic behaviors of the equilibrium point, but also generalize the existing results.



## REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Zeng, and J. Wang, "Improved conditions for global exponential stability of recurrent neural networks with time-varying delays," *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 623-635, 2006.
- [2] F. Zhang, and Y. Li, "Almost periodic solutions for higher-order Hopfield neural networks without bounded activation functions," *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, vol. 2007, no. 99, pp. 1-10, 2007.
- [3] K. Oh-Min, P. Myeong-Jin, L. Sang-Moon *et al.*, "Stability for Neural Networks With Time-Varying Delays via Some New Approaches," *Neural Networks and Learning Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 181-193, 2013.
- [4] M. Cai, and W. Xiong, "Almost periodic solutions for shunting inhibitory cellular neural networks without global Lipschitz and bounded activation functions," *Physics Letters A*, vol. 362, no. 5, pp. 417-423, 2007.
- [5] S. Qin, D. Fan, M. Yan *et al.*, "Global robust exponential stability for interval delayed neural networks with possibly unbounded activation functions," *Neural processing letters*, vol. 40, no. 1, pp. 35-50, 2014.
- [6] K. Mathiyalagan, R. Sakthivel, and S. M. Anthoni, "New robust passivity criteria for stochastic fuzzy BAM neural networks with time-varying delays," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation*, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 1392-1407, 2012.
- [7] C. Huang, H. Kuang, X. Chen *et al.*, "An LMI approach for dynamics of switched cellular neural networks with mixed delays."
- [8] C. Huang, and J. Cao, "Convergence dynamics of stochastic Cohen–Grossberg neural networks with unbounded distributed delays," *Neural Networks, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 561-572, 2011.
- [9] C.-D. Zheng, Q.-H. Shan, and Z. Wang, "Novel stability criterion for cellular neural networks: An improved Gu's discretized LKF approach," *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, vol. 349, no. 1, pp. 25-41, 2012.
- [10] S. Qin, X. Xue, and P. Wang, "Global exponential stability of almost periodic solution of delayed neural networks with discontinuous activations," *Information Sciences*, vol. 220, pp. 367-378, 2013.
- [11] S. Qin, and X. Xue, "Global exponential stability and global convergence in finite time of neural networks with discontinuous activations," *Neural Processing Letters*, vol. 29, no. 3, pp. 189-204, 2009.
- [12] J. Jian, and B. Wang, "Stability analysis in Lagrange sense for a class of BAM neural networks of neutral type with multiple time-varying delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 149, pp. 930-939, 2015.
- [13] P. Balasubramaniam, V. Vembarasan, and R. Rakkiyappan, "Leakage delays in T–S fuzzy cellular neural networks," *Neural Processing Letters*, vol. 33, no. 2, pp. 111-136, 2011.
- [14] P. Vadivel, R. Sakthivel, K. Mathiyalagan *et al.*, "Robust state estimation for uncertain fuzzy bidirectional associative memory networks with time-varying delays," *Physica Scripta*, vol. 88, no. 3, pp. 035008, 2013.
- [15] H. Xu and K.L. Teo, " $H_\infty$  optimal stabilization of a class of uncertain impulsive systems: an LMI approach," *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization*, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 153-159, 2009.
- [16] X. Xie, H. Xu and R. Zhang, "Exponential stabilization of impulsive switched systems with time delays using guaranteed cost control," *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2014, pp. 1-8, 2014.
- [17] Y. Chen, and H. Xu, "Exponential stability analysis and impulsive tracking control of uncertain time-delayed systems," *Journal of Global Optimization*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 323-334, 2012.
- [18] Y. Zhang, J. Yang, H. Xu and K.L. Te, "New results on practical set stability of switched nonlinear systems," *2013 3<sup>rd</sup> Australian Control Conference*, pp. 164-168, 2013.
- [19] S. Arik, "New criteria for global robust stability of delayed neural networks with norm-bounded uncertainties," *Neural Networks and Learning Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, vol. 25, no. 6, pp. 1045-1052, 2014.
- [20] O. Faydasicok, and S. Arik, "A new robust stability criterion for dynamical neural networks with multiple time delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 99, pp. 290-297, 2013.

- [21]S. Arik, "A new condition for robust stability of uncertain neural networks with time delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 128, pp. 476-482, 2014.
- [22]H.Xu, X. Xie, and L. Shi, "An MDADT-based approach for L2 gain analysis of discrete-time switched delay systems," *Matheamtical Problems in Engineering*, 2016.
- [23]R. Luo, H. Xu, W. Wang *et al.*, "A weak condtion for global stabilty of delayed neural networks," *Journal of Industrial and Management Optimization*, vol. 12, no. 2, pp. 505-514, 2016.
- [24]C. Li, J. Chen, and T. Huang, "A new criterion for global robust stability of interval neural networks with discrete time delays," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 31, no. 3, pp. 561-570, 2007.
- [25]J. Cao, H. Li, and L. Han, "Novel results concerning global robust stability of delayed neural networks," *Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 458-469, 2006.
- [26]J. Cao, and T. Chen, "Globally exponentially robust stability and periodicity of delayed neural networks," *Chaos, Solitons & Fractals*, vol. 22, no. 4, pp. 957-963, 2004.
- [27]O. Faydasicok, and S. Arik, "Equilibrium and stability analysis of delayed neural networks under parameter uncertainties," *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, vol. 218, no. 12, pp. 6716-6726, 2012.
- [28]N. Ozcan, and S. Arik, "New global robust stability condition for uncertain neural networks with time delays," *Neurocomputing*, vol. 142, pp. 267-274, 2014.
- [29]S. Arik, "An improved robust stability result for uncertain neural networks with multiple time delays," *Neural Networks*, vol. 54, pp. 1-10, 2014.



**Rong Zhang** received the B.S. degree in computer science from Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, China in 2004. From 1998 to 2014, she was engaged in web design, operations and optimization for the library of Huazhong University of Science and Technology. She is currently working on information analysis for the above institution.

Her current research interests include computing science, applied mathematical statistics and networked control system.



**Xiang Xie** received the B.S. degree in process equipment and control engineering from Wuhan Institute of Technology, Wuhan, China, in 2013. He is currently pursuing the Ph.D. degree with Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan.

His current research interests include neural networks, complex dynamical networks, and their applications

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT

In this paper, a more general class of activation functions are presented and they are not required to be bounded, differentiable, and monotonically increasing. Different from existing results, the slope of this class of activation functions exists both upper and lower bounds, and they may be positive, negative, or zero. In addition, more information of the states, activation functions and upper bounds of the delay derivative of the time varying delays are taken into consideration. A new Lyapunov functional is constructed and utilized to derive sufficient conditions to guarantee the global asymptotic stability of the neural network.

This paper should be of interest to a broad readership including those interested in neural networks.

I confirm that this manuscript has not been published elsewhere and is not under consideration by another publication.

ACCEPTED MANUSCRIPT