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1. Introduction

The following definitions are well known in the literature.
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Definition 1.1. A function \( f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be GA-convex if

\[
f(tx + m(1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + m(1 - t)f(y)
\]

holds for all \( x, y \in I \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).

Definition 1.2. (Toader, 1985) For \( f: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \), and \( b > 0 \) and \( m \in (0, 1] \), if

\[
f(tx + m(1 - t)y) \leq tf(x) + m(1 - t)f(y)
\]

is valid for all \( x, y \in [0, b] \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \), then we say that \( f \) is an \( m \)-convex function on \([0, b]\).

Definition 1.3. (Hudzik & Maligranda, 1994) Let \( s \in (0, 1) \). A function \( f: \mathbb{R}_+ = (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0 \) is said to be \( s \)-convex in the second sense if

\[
f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq \lambda^sf(x) + (1 - \lambda)^sf(y)
\]

holds for all \( x, y \in I \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

Definition 1.4. (Shuang, Yin, & Qi, 2013) Let \( f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_0 \) and \( s \in (0, 1) \). Then \( f \) is said to be an \( s \)-geometric-arithmetically convex function if

\[
f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq \lambda^sf(x) + (1 - \lambda)^sf(y)
\]

for \( x, y \in I \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \).

Definition 1.5. (Xi & Qi, 2015) For some \( s \in [-1, 1] \), a function \( f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be extended \( s \)-convex if

\[
f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq \lambda^sf(x) + (1 - \lambda)^sf(y)
\]

is valid for all \( x, y \in I \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

Definition 1.6. (Park, 2011) For \((s, m) \in (0, 1]^2 \) and \( b > 0 \), a function \( f: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is said to be \((s, m)\)-convex if

\[
f((1 - \lambda)x + \lambda y) \leq \lambda^sf(x) + m(1 - \lambda)^sf(y)
\]

holds for all \( x, y \in I \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

Definition 1.7. (Varošanec, 2007) Let \( I, J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be intervals, \((0, 1) \subseteq J \), and \( h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a non-negative function such that \( h \not\equiv 0 \). A function \( f: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is called \( h \)-convex, or say, \( f \in SX(h, I) \), if \( f \) is non-negative and

\[
f(tx + (1 - t)y) \leq h(t)f(x) + h(1 - t)f(y)
\]

for all \( x, y \in I \) and \( t \in (0, 1) \). If the inequality (Equation 1.1) is reversed, then \( f \) is said to be \( h \)-concave, or say, \( f \in SV(h, I) \).

Definition 1.8. (Özdemir, Akdemir, & Set, 2011) Let \( J \subseteq \mathbb{R} \) be an interval, \((0, 1) \subseteq J \) and \( b > 0 \), \( h: J \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be a non-negative function such that \( h \not\equiv 0 \). We say that \( f: [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is an \((h, m)\)-convex function, or say, \( f \in SMX((h, m), [0, b]) \), if \( f \) is non-negative and

\[
f(tx + m(1 - t)y) \leq h(t)f(x) + mh(1 - t)f(y)
\]

for all \( x, y \in [0, b] \) and \( \lambda \in [0, 1] \) and \( m \in (0, 1] \). If the inequality (Equation 1.2) is reversed, then \( f \) is said to be \((h, m)\)-concave, or say, \( f \in SMV((h, m), [0, b]) \).
The following inequalities of Hermite–Hadamard type were established for some of the above convex functions.

**Theorem 1.1** (Dragomir & Toader, 1993, Theorem 2) Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \( m \)-convex and \( m \in (0, 1) \) if \( f \in L([a, b]) \) for \( 0 \leq a < b < \infty \), then

\[
\frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \min \left\{ \frac{f(a) + mf(b/m)}{2}, \frac{mf(a/m) + f(b)}{2} \right\}.
\]

**Theorem 1.2** (Dragomir, 2002, Theorem 2.2) Let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \( m \)-convex function with \( m \in (0, 1) \). If \( f \in L([a, b]) \) for \( 0 \leq a < b < \infty \), then

\[
f \left( \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(x) + \frac{mf(x/m)}{2} \, dx \leq \frac{m + 1}{4} \left[ f(a) + f(b) + mf(a/m) + mf(b/m) \right].
\]

**Theorem 1.3** (Sarıkaya, Saglam, & Yıldırım, 2008, Theorem 6) Let \( f \in SX(h, I) \) and \( f \in L([a, b]) \) for \( a, b \in I \) with \( a < b \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{2h(1/2)} f \left( \frac{a + b}{2} \right) \leq \frac{1}{b - a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \left[ f(a) + f(b) \right] h(t) \, dt.
\]

**Theorem 1.4** (Pinheiro, 2008, Theorem 4.2) If \( f \) is \( s \)-convex in the second sense and non-negative on \( I \) and if \( x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n \in I \) for \( n \geq 3 \) and some \( s \in (0, 1) \), then

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{x_1 + \cdots + x_n}{n} \right) \geq \frac{2^{s-1}(n^s - 1)}{n} \sum_{i=2}^n f \left( \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \right). \tag{1.3}
\]

**Theorem 1.5** (Latif, 2010, Theorem 11) Let \( h \) be a non-negative super-multiplicative function. If \( f \in SX(h, I) \) and \( x_1, \ldots, x_n \in I \), then

\[
\sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - f \left( \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right) \geq \frac{1 - h(1/n)}{2h(1/2)} \sum_{i=2}^n f \left( \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \right), \tag{1.4}
\]

where \( x_{n+1} = x_1 \). This inequality is reversed if \( f \in SV(h, I) \).


### 2. Definitions

We now introduce concepts of \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convex functions and \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_0^+ \) such that \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and \( f : I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \). If

\[
f(x^iy^{1-i}) \leq h_1(t)f(x) + h_2(1-t)f(y) \quad \text{for } x, y \in I \text{ and } t \in [0, 1]
\]

then \( f \) is said to be an \((h_1, h_2)\)-geometric-arithmetic convex function or, simply speaking, an \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convex function. If Equation (2.1) is reversed, then \( f \) is said to be an \((h_1, h_2)\)-geometric-arithmetically concave function or, simply speaking, an \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-concave function.

**Remark 1** If \( f \) is a decreasing and \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convex function on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \) and \( h_i(t) = h_i(1-t) = h(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \) then \( f \) is an \( h \) convex function on \( \mathbb{R}_+ \).
Remark 2  By Definition (2.1),

(1) if \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), then \( f \) is an \( h \)-GA-convex function;

(2) when \( h(t) = t^e \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( s \in (-1, 1) \), an \( h \)-GA-convex function is reduced to an extended \( s \)-GA-convex function;

(3) when \( h(t) = t \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), an \( h \)-GA-convex function becomes a GA-convex function.

Definition 2.2. Let \( h_2: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} - \{0\} \) and \( m: [0, 1] \to (0, 1) \) such that \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \). A function \( f: (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is said to be \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex, if

\[
f(x^t y^{1/t} - w(t)) \leq h_1(t)f(x) + m(t)h_2(1 - t)f(y)
\]

for all \( x, y \in (0, b) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \). If the inequality (Equation 2.2) is reversed, we say that \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave.

Remark 3  By the above definitions, we have the following assertions.

(1) If \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), then \( f: (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is an \((h, m)\)-GA-convex function;

(2) Let \( f: (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h, m)\)-GA-convex function and \( m \in (0, 1) \). When \( h(t) = t \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), the function \( f \) is said to be \( m \)-GA-convex;

(3) If \( f: (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is an \((h, m)\)-GA-convex function, \( h(t) = t^e \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( s \in (-1, 1) \), and \( m \in (0, 1) \), then \( f \) is extended \((s, m)\)-GA-convex;

(4) If \( f: (0, b) \to \mathbb{R} \) is an \((h, 1)\)-GA-convex function and \( m \in (0, 1) \), then it is \( h \)-GA-convex on \((0, b) \).

Example 2.1  Let \( f(x) = |\ln x| \) for \( x \in (0, 1) \) and \( m(t) = c(1 - t)^s \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( 0 < c \leq 1 \), and some \( c \in \mathbb{R} \).

(1) Let \( h_1(t) = t^e \) and \( h_2(t) = t^e \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( e_1, e_2 \in \mathbb{R} \). If \( e_1, e_2 \leq 0 \), then \( f \) is an increasing and \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, 1) \); if \( e_1, e_2 \geq 0 \), then \( f \) is an increasing and \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave function on \((0, 1) \).

(2) In Definitions (1.8), letting \( m = 0.6, h(t) = t \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \), \( x_0 = 0.5, y_0 = 0.9 \), and \( t_0 = \frac{1}{2} \) leads to

\[
f(t_0 x_0 + m(1 - t_0) y_0) - h(t_0)f(x_0) - mh(1 - t_0)f(y_0) > 0.
\]

This implies that \( f(x) = |\ln x| \) is not an \((h, m)\)-convex function on \((0, 1) \).

3. Properties

Now we discuss some properties of \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions.

Theorem 3.1 Let \( h_i: [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_0 \) such that \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \) and let \( f: I \subseteq \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \).

(1) If \( f: I \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is an \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convex function on \( I \), then \( h_1(t) + h_2(1 - t) \geq 1 \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \);

(2) If \( f: I \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is an \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-concave function on \( I \), then \( h_1(t) + h_2(1 - t) \leq 1 \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \).

Proof  If \( f: I \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) is an \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convex function on \( I \), using the \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-convexity of \( f \) on \( I \), we obtain

\[
f(x) = f(x^t y^{1-t}) \leq h_1(t)f(x) + h_2(1 - t)f(x) = (h_1(t) + h_2(1 - t))f(x)
\]

for all \( x \in I \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).
The rest may be proved similarly. Theorem 3.1 is thus proved.

**Theorem 3.2**  Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( m : [0, 1] \to (0, 1) \).

1. If \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b)\), \( h_1(t) \leq h_2(t) \) and \( h_1(t) \leq h_4(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), then \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_4, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b)\).

2. If \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave function on \((0, b)\), \( h_1(t) \geq h_2(t) \) and \( h_1(t) \geq h_4(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), then \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_4, m)\)-GA-concave function on \((0, b)\).

**Proof**  Since \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b)\), \( h_1(t) \leq h_2(t) \) and \( h_1(t) \leq h_4(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have
\[
f(x^t y^{1-t}) \leq h_1(t)f(x) + m(t)h_2(t)(1-t)f(x) \leq h_1(t)f(x) + m(t)h_4(t)(1-t)f(x)
\]
for all \( x \in (0, b) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).

Since \( f(x) \) is an \((h_1, m)\)-GA-concave function on \((0, b)\) and \( h_1(t) \geq h_4(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \), we have
\[
f(x^t y^{1-t}) \geq h_1(t)f(x) + m(t)h_2(t)(1-t)f(x) \geq h_1(t)f(x) + m(t)h_4(t)(1-t)f(x)
\]
for all \( x \in (0, b) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \). The proof of Theorem 3.2 is complete.

**Corollary 3.2.1**  Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( f_i : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq n \) and \( m : [0, 1] \to (0, 1) \).

1. If \( h(t) = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( f_i \) are \((h_i, m)\)-GA-convex on \([0, b]\) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i \) is an \((h, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, b]\).

2. If \( h(t) = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} h_i(t) \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( f_i \) are \((h_i, m)\)-GA-concave on \([0, b]\) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \), then \( \prod_{i=1}^{n} f_i \) is an \((h, m)\)-GA-concave function on \([0, b]\).

**Proof**  This follows from Theorem 3.2 and induction on \( n \).

**Theorem 3.3**  Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) such that \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, 3, 4, f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) and \( g : [0, d] \to \mathbb{R} \) for \( 0, 0 \) if \( u = g(x) \) is an \( m \)-geometrically convex (or concave, respectively) function on \([0, d]\), then \( f \circ g \) is an \((h_1, h_4, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, d]\).

**Proof**  When \( f \) is a decreasing and \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, b]\),

1. If \( u = g(x) \) is \( m \)-geometrically concave on \([0, d]\), then
\[
g(x^t y^{1-t}) \geq [g(x)]^t [g(y)]^{1-t}
\]
for all \( x, y \in (0, d) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).
(2) if \( y = f(u) \) is decreasing and \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex on \((0, b)\), then
\[
f(\{x^1 y^{m-1-t}\}) \leq f(\{(g(u)^{t}g(y))^{m-1-t}\}) \leq h_1(t)f(g(u)) + mh_2(1 - tf(g(y)))
\]
for all \( x, y \in (0, d) \) and \( t \in [0, 1) \).

Therefore, for all cases mentioned above, the composite \( f \circ g \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, d]\).

The rest may be proved similarly. Theorem 3.3 is thus proved. \( \square \)

4. Jensen type inequalities

Now we are in a position to establish inequalities of Jensen type for \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions.

**Theorem 4.1** Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) be functions such that \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), let \( h_i(t_1, t_2) \leq h_i(t, t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \) let \( h_2 \) be a super-multiplicative function and \( m : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \) and let \( f : [0, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+ \) be an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b]\). Then

\[
f\left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{w_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} m(w_i) \right) \leq h_1(w_i)f(x_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_i)f(x_i)
\]

(4.1)

holds for all \( x_i \in (0, b] \), \( w_i > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \) and \( m(w_0) = 1 \).

If \( h_i(t_1, t_2) \geq h_i(t_1 t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave on \((0, b]\), then the inequality (Equation 4.1) is reversed.

**Proof** When \( n = 2 \), taking \( t = w_1 \) and \( 1 - t = w_2 \) in Definition (2.2) means that the inequality (Equation 4.1) holds.

Suppose that the inequality (Equation 4.1) holds for \( n = k \), that is,

\[
f\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_i^{w_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} m(w_i) \right) \leq h_1(w_i)f(x_i) + \sum_{i=2}^{k} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{i-1} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_i)f(x_i).
\]

(4.2)

When \( n = k + 1 \), letting \( \Delta_k = \sum_{i=2}^{k+1} w_i \), by Definition (2.2) and the hypothesis (Equation 4.2), we have

\[
f\left( \prod_{i=1}^{k+1} x_i^{w_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} m(w_i) \right) = f\left( x_1^{w_1} \left( \prod_{i=2}^{k+1} x_i^{w_i/\Delta_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} m(w_i) \right)^{m(w_1)/\Delta_i} \right)
\]

\[
\leq h_1(w_1)f(x_1) + m(w_1)h_2(\Delta_k)f\left( x_2^{w_2/\Delta_k} \prod_{i=3}^{k+1} x_i^{w_i/\Delta_i} \prod_{i=1}^{m} m(w_i) \right)
\]

\[
\leq h_1(w_1)f(x_1) + m(w_1)h_2(\Delta_k) h_1 \left( \frac{w_2}{\Delta_k} \right) f(x_2)
\]

\[
+ \sum_{i=3}^{k+1} \left( \prod_{j=2}^{i-1} m(w_j) \right) h_2 \left( \frac{w_i}{\Delta_i} \right) f(x_i)
\]

Since \( h_2 \) is a super-multiplicative function, we obtain \( h_2(\Delta_k)h_2(\frac{w_i}{\Delta_k}) \leq h_2(w_i) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \). This implies that, when \( n = k + 1 \), the inequality (Equation 4.1) holds. By induction, Theorem 4.1 is proved. \( \square \)

**Remark 4** Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, if \( f \) is a decreasing function on \((0, b]\) and \( m(t) = 1 \) for \( t \in [0, 1) \), then

\[
(\ast) \quad f(\{x^1 y^{m-1-t}\}) \geq f(\{(g(u)^{t}g(y))^{m-1-t}\}) \geq h_1(t)f(g(u)) + mh_2(1 - tf(g(y)))
\]

for all \( x, y \in (0, d) \) and \( t \in [0, 1] \).
\[ f \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \right) \leq f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{w_i} \right) \leq h_1(w_1)f(x_1) + \sum_{i=2}^{n} h_2(w_i)f(x_i) \]

holds for all \( x_i \in (0, b) \) and \( w_i > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \).

**Corollary 4.1.1** Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, if \( w_1 = \cdots = w_n = \frac{1}{n} \), then

\[ f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n} \right) \leq h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)f(x_1) + h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=2}^{n} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^{1-1/n} f(x_i) \]

holds for all \( x_i \in (0, b) \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \).

If \( h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \geq h_2(t_1t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\), then the inequality (Equation 4.3) is reversed.

**Corollary 4.1.2** Let \( h : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \) be a super-multiplicative function such that \( h \not\equiv 0 \) and \( m \in (0, 1) \), and \( f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, b] \). Then the inequality

\[ f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{m^{-1}w_i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} m^{-1}h(w_i)f(x_i) \]

holds for all \( x_i \in (0, b) \) and \( w_i > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \). If \( h \) is sub-multiplicative and \( f \) is \((h, m)\)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\), then the inequality (Equation 4.4) is reversed.

**Proof** This follows from Theorem 4.1 by putting \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \) and \( m(t) = m \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( m \in (0, 1) \).

**Corollary 4.1.3** Let \( h(t) = t^s \) for \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( s \in [-1, 1] \), \( f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \), and \( m \in (0, 1) \). Then \( f \) is an \((s, m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0, b] \) if and only if

\[ f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{m^{-1}w_i} \right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} m^{-1}w_if(x_i) \]

for all \( x_i \in (0, b) \) and \( w_i > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i = 1 \).

5. Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities

Now we are in a position to establish some new Hermite–Hadamard type inequalities for \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions.

**Theorem 5.1** Let \( h_i : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R} \), \( h_i \not\equiv 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, m : [0, 1] \to (0, 1) \) and \( f : [0, b] \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b)\) such that \( f \in L_1 \left( \left[ a, \frac{b}{m t^{1/2}} \right] \right) \) and \( h_1, h_2 \in L_1 \left( (0, 1) \right) \) for \( 0 < a < b \). Then

\[ f \left( \sqrt{ab} \right) \leq h_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) \frac{m(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx + \frac{m(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f \left( \frac{h_2(1/2)x}{m(1/2)} \right) \, dx. \]

**Proof** Since \( \sqrt{ab} = (a^{1/2}b^{1/2})^{1/2} = (a^{-1/2}b^{1/2})^{1/2} \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), from the \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA convexity of \( f \) on \((0, b)\), we obtain

\[ f \left( \sqrt{ab} \right) \leq h_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f (a^{1/2}b^{1/2}) + m \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) h_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f \left( \frac{a^{-1/2}b^{1/2}}{m(1/2)} \right). \]

If replacing \( a^{1/2}b^{1/2} \) and \( a^{-1/2}b^{1/2} \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) by \( x \), then
\[
\int_0^1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) \, dt = \frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx
\]
(5.1)

and

\[
\int_0^1 f \left( \frac{a^{1-t}b^t}{m(1/2)} \right) \, dt = \frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) \, dx.
\]
(5.2)

The proof of Theorem 5.1 is complete.

**Theorem 5.2** Let \( h_i : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \), \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, m \in (0,1) \) and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, \frac{2}{m})\) such that \( f \in L_1 ([a, \frac{2}{m}]) \) and \( h_1, h_2 \in L_1 ([0,1]) \) for \( 0 < a < b \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \min \left\{ f(a) \int_0^1 h_1(t) \, dt + mf \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) \int_0^1 h_2(t) \, dt, \right. \\
\left. f(b) \int_0^1 h_1(t) \, dt + mf \left( \frac{a}{m} \right) \int_0^1 h_2(t) \, dt \right\}
\]

If \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \) for all \( t \in [0,1] \), we have

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \min \left\{ f(a) + mf \left( \frac{b}{m} \right), f(b) + mf \left( \frac{a}{m} \right) \right\} \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt.
\]

**Proof** Letting \( x = a^{1-t}b^t \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \), by the \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convexity of \( f \) and (Equation 5.1), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) \, dt \leq \min \left\{ f(a) \int_0^1 h_1(t) \, dt \\
+ mf \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) \int_0^1 h_2(t) \, dt, f(b) \int_0^1 h_1(t) \, dt + mf \left( \frac{a}{m} \right) \int_0^1 h_2(t) \, dt \right\}
\]

The proof of Theorem 5.2 is complete.

**Corollary 5.2.1** Let \( h_1(t) = t^s \) and \( h_2(t) = t^s \) for all \( t \in (0,1) \), let \( s_1, s_2 \in (-1,1) \) and \( m \in (0,1) \), and let \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, \frac{2}{m})\) such that \( f \in L_1 ([a, \frac{2}{m}]) \) for \( 0 < a < b \). Then

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx \leq \min \left\{ \frac{f(a)}{s_1 + 1} + \frac{mf(\frac{b}{m})}{s_2 + 1}, \frac{f(b)}{s_1 + 1} + \frac{mf(\frac{a}{m})}{s_2 + 1} \right\}
\]

**Theorem 5.3** Let \( h_i : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R} \), \( h_i \neq 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2, m \in (0,1) \) and \( f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R} \) be an \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, \frac{2}{m})\) such that \( f \in L_1 ([a, \frac{2}{m}]) \) and \( h_1, h_2 \in L_1 ([0,1]) \) for \( 0 < a < b \). Then

\[
f(\sqrt{ab}) \leq \frac{h_1(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) \, dx + \frac{mh_2(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f \left( \frac{x}{m} \right) \, dx
\]

\[
\leq \min \left\{ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f(a) + mh_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f \left( \frac{a}{m} \right) \right\} \int_0^1 h_1(t) \, dt \\
+ \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f(b) + mh_2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \right) f \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) \right] \int_0^1 h_2(t) \, dt
\]

**Proof** From the \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA convexity of \( f \) on \((0, \frac{2}{m})\), we obtain
\[
f(\sqrt{ab}) \leq h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f(a^{1/2}b^{1/2}) + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f\left(\frac{a^{1/2}b^{1/2}}{m}\right)
\]
\[
\leq \min\left\{ h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_1(t)f(a) + mh_2(1-t)f\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)\right] + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_1(1-t)f\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + mh_2(t)f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right)\right],\right.
\]
\[
\left. h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_1(1-t)f(b) + mh_2(t)f\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)\right] + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)\left[h_1(t)f\left(\frac{b}{m}\right) + mh_2(1-t)f\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)\right]\right\}.
\]

Substituting \(a^{1/2}b^{1/2}\) and \(a^{1/2}b^{1/2}\) for \(0 \leq t \leq 1\) by \(x\) and integrating on both sides of the above inequality with respect to \(t \in [0,1]\) lead to

\[
f(\sqrt{ab}) \leq \frac{h_1(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a}\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx + \frac{mh_2(1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a}\int_{a}^{b} f\left(\frac{x}{m}\right) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \min\left\{ \left[ h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f(a) + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{1} h_1(t) \, dt + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} h_2(t) \, dt,\right.
\]
\[
\left. \left[ h_1\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f(b) + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)\right] \int_{0}^{1} h_2(t) \, dt + mh_2\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right) \int_{0}^{1} h_2(t) \, dt\right\}.
\]

Theorem 5.3 is proved.

**Corollary 5.3.1** Let \(h:[0,1] \to \mathbb{R}\), \(h \neq 0\) and \(m \in (0,1]\) and \(f: [0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}\) be an \((h,m)\)-GA-convex function on \([0,\frac{b}{m}]\) such that \(f \in L_1[\frac{a}{m}, \frac{b}{m}]\) and \(h \in L_1([0,1])\) for \(0 < a < b\). Then

\[
\frac{f(\sqrt{ab})}{h(1/2)} \leq \frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a}\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \min\left\{ f(a) + mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right),\right.
\]
\[
2mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + f(b) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right), f(a) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{a}{m^2}\right) + 2mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right),\right.
\]
\[
\left. mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{a}{m^2}\right) + f(b) + mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)\right\} \int_{0}^{1} h(t) \, dt.
\]

**Proof** This can be derived from letting \(h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t)\) for all \(t \in [0,1]\) and considering the symmetry between \(a\) and \(b\) in Theorem 4.1.

**Corollary 5.3.2** Under the conditions of Corollary 5.3.1, if \(h(t) = t^s\) for \(t \in (0,1)\) and \(s \in (-1,1]\), then

\[
2f(\sqrt{ab}) \leq \frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a}\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dx
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{s + 1}\min\left\{ f(a) + mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right),\right.
\]
\[
2mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + f(b) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{b}{m^2}\right), f(a) + mf\left(\frac{a}{m^2}\right),\right.
\]
\[
\left. 2mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right), mf\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) + m^{1/2}f\left(\frac{a}{m^2}\right) + f(b) + mf\left(\frac{b}{m}\right)\right\}.
\]
THEOREM 5.4 Let \( h, g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that \( h \not\equiv 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( m, g : [0, 1] \to (0, 1] \) and \( f, g \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) are \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions on \((0, b_1/2)_+ \) such that \( f g \in L_1 (\{ a, b_1 \}) \) for \( 0 < a < b \). Then

\[
\begin{aligned}
f( \sqrt{a b} ) &\leq \left[ \frac{h_1 (1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \right]^2 \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx \\
&+ \frac{m(1/2) h_1 (1/2) h_2 (1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) g(\frac{x}{m(1/2)}) \, dx \\
&+ \frac{m(1/2) h_2 (1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) g \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) \, dx.
\end{aligned}
\]  

(5.3)

\[
\text{Proof} \quad \text{Using the \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA convexity of} \ f \ \text{and} \ \text{on} \ \left(0, \frac{b_1}{2}\right)_+, \ \text{we obtain}
\]

\[
f( \sqrt{a b} ) \leq \left[ \frac{h_1 (1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \right]^2 \left[ f \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) g \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + \frac{m(1/2) h_1 (1/2) h_2 (1/2)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b \left( f \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) g(\frac{x}{m(1/2)}) \right) \right]
\]

\[
\times \left[ h_1 (1/2) g \left( \frac{a}{b} \right) + \frac{m(1/2) h_2 (1/2) g \left( \frac{a}{b} \right)}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b \left( f \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) g \left( \frac{x}{m(1/2)} \right) \right) \right].
\]  

(5.4)

Letting \( x = a^{1-t}b^t \) and \( x = a^{1-t}b^t \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \) and integrating the inequality (Equation 5.4) on \([0, 1]\) with respect to \( t \), we arrive at the inequality (Equation 5.3). Theorem 5.4 is thus proved.

THEOREM 5.5 Let \( h, g : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) \( h \not\equiv 0 \) for \( i = 1, 2 \), \( m_1, m_2 \in (0, 1) \) \( f, g : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+ \). If \( f \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m_1)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b_1/m_1)_+ \) \( g \) is an \((h_1, h_2, m_2)\)-GA-convex function on \((0, b_1/m_2)_+ \) and \( f g \in L_1 (\{ a, b_1 \}) \) and \( h_1^2, h_2^2 \in L_1 ([0, 1]) \) for \( 0 < a < b \), then

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx \leq \int_0^1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) g(a^{1-t}b^t) \, dt
\]

\[
\leq \left[ \int_0^1 h_1(t) f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_1 h_2(t) (1-t) f \left( \frac{b}{m_1} \right) \, dt \right] \left[ \int_0^1 h_1(t) g(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_2 h_2(t) (1-t) g \left( \frac{b}{m_2} \right) \, dt \right]
\]

\[
\times \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt + \left[ \int_0^1 m_1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_2 f \left( \frac{b}{m_2} \right) \, dt \right] \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt.
\]  

(5.5)

\[
\text{Proof} \quad \text{Let} \ x = a^{1-t}b^t \ \text{for} \ 0 \in [0, 1]. \ \text{By the} \ (h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convexity of \( f \ \text{and} \ g \ \text{we have}
\]

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx = \int_0^1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) g(a^{1-t}b^t) \, dt
\]

\[
\leq \left[ \int_0^1 h_1(t) f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_1 h_2(t) (1-t) f \left( \frac{b}{m_1} \right) \, dt \right] \left[ \int_0^1 h_1(t) g(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_2 h_2(t) (1-t) g \left( \frac{b}{m_2} \right) \, dt \right]
\]

\[
\times \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt + \left[ \int_0^1 m_1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_2 f \left( \frac{b}{m_2} \right) \, dt \right] \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt.
\]  

The proof of Theorem 5.5 is complete.

COROLLARY 5.5.1 Under the conditions of Theorem 5.5, if \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \) for all \( t \in [0, 1] \) then

\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x) g(x) \, dx \leq \left[ \int_0^1 h(t) f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_1 h_2(t) (1-t) f \left( \frac{b}{m_1} \right) \, dt \right]
\]

\[
\times \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt + \left[ \int_0^1 m_1 f(a^{1-t}b^t) + m_2 f \left( \frac{b}{m_2} \right) \, dt \right] \int_0^1 h(t) \, dt.
\]

In particular, if \( h(t) = t^s \) for \( s \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 1] \) and \( m_1 = m_2 = m \), then
\[
\frac{1}{\ln b - \ln a} \int_a^b f(x)g(x) \, dx \leq \frac{1}{2s + 1} \left[ f(\alpha)g(\alpha) + m^2 f \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) g \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) \right] \\
+ mb(s + 1, s + 1) \left[ f(\alpha)g \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) + f \left( \frac{b}{m} \right) g(\alpha) \right],
\]
where \( B \) denotes the well-known Beta function.

### 6. Applications

In what follows we will apply theorems and corollaries in the above section to establish inequalities for \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-convex functions.

**Theorem 6.1** Under the conditions of Theorem 4.1, if \( n, k \in \mathbb{N} \) with \( n \geq 3 \) and \( 2 \leq k \leq n \). Then, for all \( x_i \in (0, b) \) and \( w_i > 0 \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^n w_i = 1 \), \( m(w_0) = 1 \), and \( x_{n+1} = x_1, \ldots, x_{2n-1} = x_{n+p} \)

(1) when \( 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\left[ 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] & \sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \Pi_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=m(w_i)} \right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^2 \\
& \times h_2(w_1) \left\{ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - 1 \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \right) \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

(6.1)

(2) when \( 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \Pi_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=m(w_i)} \right) \\
& \leq \left[ h_1(w_1) + \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)^2 \\
& \times h_2(w_1) \left\{ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - 1 \right\} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{n-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \right) \right\},
\end{align*}
\]

(6.2)

If \( h_1(t), h_2(t) \geq h_1(t_1, t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h_2 \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\), then the inequalities (Equations 6.1 and 6.2) are reversed.

**Proof** Using the inequality (Equation 4.1), it follows that
\[
\sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \Pi_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=m(w_i)} \right) \leq \left[ h_1(w_1) - h_2(w_1) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) + \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).
\]

When \( h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 1 \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^n f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1-1}} \Pi_{\alpha=1}^{\alpha=m(w_i)} \right) & \leq \left[ h_1(w_1) - h_2(w_1) \right] \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) \\
& + \left[ 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) h_2(w_1) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i).
\end{align*}
\]
By the inequality (Equation 4.3), it is easy to see that

\[
\left[1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) \leq \left[1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1(w_1) - h_2(w_2) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i)
\]

\[
+ \left( \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{p} m(w_j) h_2(w_{p+1}) \right)
\]

\[
\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \frac{h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) - \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p}{\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p} \right\}.
\]

When \( h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1 \), we obtain

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left[ h_1(w_1) + \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \prod_{j=0}^{p} m(w_j) h_2(w_{p+1}) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left\{ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - 1 \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) - \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p}{\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p} \right\}.
\]

The proof of Theorem 6.1 is complete.

\textbf{Corollary 6.1.1} Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, if \( w_1 = \ldots = w_k = \frac{1}{k} \),

1. when \( 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) \leq \left[1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]
\]

\[
+ \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) - \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p}{\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p} \right\}.
\]

(6.3)

2. when \( 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) + h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left[ \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{p=0}^{k+1} x_p^{w_p} \right) - \sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p}{\sum_{p=0}^{k-1} \left[ m \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right]^p} \right\}.
\]

(6.4)
If \( h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \geq h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \( (h_1, h_2, m) \)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\), then the inequalities (Equations 6.3 and 6.4) are reversed.

**Corollary 6.1.2** Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, if \( w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_k = \frac{1}{n} \) and \( m(t) = 1 \) for any \( t \in [0, 1] \),

1. when \( 1 - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \neq 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1 - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{kh_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{1/n}\right) \leq \frac{1 - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{kh_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \frac{1}{n} \left[n + h_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n}\right); \tag{6.5}
\]

2. when \( 1 - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) = 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{1/n}\right) \leq \left[h_1\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) + kh_2\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left[h_2\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \frac{1}{n} \left[n + h_1\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - 1\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n}\right)\right]. \tag{6.6}
\]

If \( h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \geq h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \( (h_1, h_2) \)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\), then the inequalities (Equation 6.5 and 6.6) are reversed.

**Corollary 6.1.3** Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, if \( h_1(t) = t^x \) and \( h_2(t) = t^{y} \) for all \( t \in (0, 1) \) and \( s_1, s_2 \in [-1, 1] \), then

1. when \( s_2 \in [-1, 1], s_2 \neq 0, \) and \( s_1 \geq s_2 \), we have

\[
\frac{1 - n^{-s_2}}{k^{-s_2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{\frac{1}{n}[s_2 \geq 0]}\right) \leq \frac{1 - n^{-s_2}}{k^{-s_2}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \frac{1}{n} \left[n + m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^p\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n}\right); \tag{6.7}
\]

2. when \( 1 \geq s_1 \geq s_2 = 0 \), we have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{k+1} x_p^{\frac{1}{n}[s_2 \geq 0]}\right) \leq \left[w_1 + \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} m(w_j)\right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \sum_{p=0}^{n} m\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^p \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{\frac{1}{n}[s_2 \geq 0]}\right); \tag{6.8}
\]

If \( f \) is \( (h_1, h_2, m) \)-GA-concave on \((0, b)\) and \( s_1 \leq s_2 \), then the inequalities (Equations 6.7 and 6.8) are reversed.

**Corollary 6.1.4** Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, if \( w_1 = w_2 = \cdots = w_k = \frac{1}{n} \), \( h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t) \), and \( m(t) = 1 \) for \( t \in [0, 1] \) and \( h\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \leq 1 \) and \( f \) is a decreasing function on \((0, b)\), then
(1) when \( h(\frac{1}{n}) < 1 \), we have
\[
\frac{1 - h(\frac{1}{n})}{kh(\frac{1}{n})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p\right) \leq \frac{1 - h(\frac{1}{n})}{kh(\frac{1}{n})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{1/k}\right) \\
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n}\right) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right); \quad (6.9)
\]

(2) when \( h(\frac{1}{n}) = 1 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{n})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p\right) \leq \frac{1}{h(\frac{1}{n})} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{1/k}\right) \\
\leq (1+k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/n}\right) \leq (1+k) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i\right). 
\]

**Theorem 6.2**  Under the conditions of Theorem 6.1, if \( \epsilon_1, \ldots, \epsilon_n \in \mathbb{N} \) then

(1) when \( 1 - h_2(\frac{1}{n}) \neq 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1 - h_2(\frac{1}{n})}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{w_{ij}} \prod_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j)\right) \\
\leq \left[1 - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right] [h_1(w_i) - h_2(w_j)] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) \\
+ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{i=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{w_{ij}} \prod_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j)\right) \\
+ \frac{h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}{\sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j)^p} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j-1} m(w_j)^p}{\sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j-1} m(w_j)^p} \right]; \quad (6.10)
\]

(2) when \( 1 - h_2(\frac{1}{n}) = 0 \), we have
\[
\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^{k_i-1} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{w_{ij}} \prod_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j)\right) \\
\leq \left[ h_1(w_i) + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + \frac{h_2(w_j)}{\sum_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j-1} m(w_j)^p} \\
\times \left\{ h_2\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left(\prod_{p=1}^{ki-1} x_p^{w_{ij}} \prod_{p=0}^{\epsilon_j} m(w_j)\right); \quad (6.11)
\]

where \( \epsilon_{k+1} = \epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{2k-1} = \epsilon_{k-1} \).

If \( h_1(t_1, h_2(t_2) \geq h_2(t_1, t_2) \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \) \( h_2 \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \( h_1, h_2, m \)-GA-concave on \([0, 1]\), then the inequalities (Equations 6.10 and 6.11) are reversed.

**Proof** Using the inequality (Equation 4.1), it follows that
\[
\frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{i \leq 1} \sum_{i \leq k} f\left( \prod_{p = 1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1}^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)}} \right)
\leq [h_1(w_i) - h_2(w_i)] \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) + \sum_{p = 0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j = 0}^{p} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_{p+1}) \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i).
\]

When \( h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 1 \), using the inequality (Equation 4.3), we have

\[
\frac{1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{n - 1} \sum_{i \leq 1} \sum_{i \leq k} f\left( \prod_{p = 1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1}^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)}} \right)
\leq \left[ 1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] [h_1(w_i) - h_2(w_i)] \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i)
+ \sum_{p = 0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j = 0}^{p} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_{p+1}) \left\{ \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{p = 0}^{\infty} m^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)}}{\sum_{p = 0}^{\infty} m^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)}} f(x_i) \right\}.
\]

When \( h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1 \), we obtain

\[
\frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{i \leq 1} \sum_{i \leq k} f\left( \prod_{p = 1}^{k-1} x_p^{w_{p+1}^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)}} \right)
\leq \left[ h_1(w_i) + \sum_{p = 0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j = 0}^{p} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_{p+1}) \right] \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) - h_2(w_i) \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i)
\leq \left[ h_1(w_i) + \sum_{p = 0}^{k-1} \left( \prod_{j = 0}^{p} m(w_j) \right) h_2(w_{p+1}) \right] \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left( \sum_{p = 0}^{\infty} m^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)} \right)^{-1}
\times h_2(w_i) \left\{ h_1\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - 1 \right\} \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) - \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{j = 0}^{\infty} x_j^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)} \right).
\]

The proof of Theorem 6.2 is complete. \( \blacksquare \)

**Corollary 6.2.1** Under the conditions of Theorem 6.2, if \( w_1 = \cdots = w_k = \frac{1}{n} \),

(1) when \( 1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 0 \), we have

\[
\frac{1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{n - 1} \sum_{i \leq 1} \sum_{i \leq k} f\left( \prod_{p = 1}^{k-1} x_p^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)} \right)
\leq \left[ 1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) + \left( \sum_{p = 0}^{\infty} m\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)} \right)^{-1}
\times \left\{ \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f(x_i) - \sum_{i = 1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{j = 0}^{\infty} x_j^{\frac{1}{n} \log(w_i)} \right) \right\}.
\]
(2) when \(1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0\), we have

If \(h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \geq h_2(t_1t_2)\) for all \(t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1]\), \(h\) is sub-multiplicative, and \(f\) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave

\[
\frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} x_{i,p}^{1/m^j} \right) 
\leq \frac{1}{(n - 1) h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) } \sum_{k=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i,p}^{1/k} \right) \leq \frac{1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \left( h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right)}{kh_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) 
\]

\(6.12\)

(2) when \(1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0\), we have

\[
\frac{k}{n - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i,p}^{1/k} \right) \leq \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) + kh_2 \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) + h_2 \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{n} \left( h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - 1 \right) \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{n} x_{i,n}^{1/n} \right) \right] 
\]

\(6.13\)

COROLLARY 6.1.2 Under the conditions of Theorem 6.2, if \(w_1 = \cdots = w_k = \frac{1}{k}\) and \(m(t) = 1\) for all \(t \in [0, 1]\),

(1) when \(1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \neq 0\), we have

\[
\frac{1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) }{n - 1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i,p}^{1/k} \right) \leq \left[ 1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \left[ h_1 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \right] \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) 
\]

\(6.14\)

(2) when \(1 - h_2 \left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0\), we have

\[
\sum_{k=1}^{n} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{k} x_{i,p}^{1/k} \right) \leq \left( \sum_{p=0}^{n} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right) \right)^p \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n} f(x_i) - \frac{\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} f \left( \prod_{i=1}^{p+1} x_{i,p+1}^{1/k} \right) }{\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{k} \right)^p} \right] 
\]
(2) when \( 1 - h\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 0 \), we have

\[
\left( \frac{n - 1}{k - 1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip} \right) \leq \frac{1}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

(6.15)

If \( h \) is sub-multiplicative and \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2, m)\)-GA-concave on \([0, b)\), then the inequalities (Equations 6.12 and 6.13) are reversed.

**Corollary 6.1.4** Under the conditions of Theorem 6.2, if \( w_1 = \cdots = w_k = \frac{1}{k} \), \( m(t) = 1 \) for any \( t \in [0, 1] \), \( h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq 1 \), and \( f \) is a decreasing function on \([0, b)\), then

(1) when \( h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) < 1 \), we have

\[
\left( \frac{n - 1}{k - 1} \right) \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip} \right) \leq \frac{1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1 - h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right)}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

(2) when \( h_2\left( \frac{1}{n} \right) = 1 \), we have

If \( h_1(t_1)h_2(t_2) \geq \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \) for all \( t_1, t_2 \in [0, 1] \), \( h \) is sub-multiplicative, and \( f \) is \((h_1, h_2)\)-GA-concave on 

\[
\frac{k}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \frac{1}{k} \sum_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip} \right) \leq \frac{k}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{k}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{k}{n - 1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} f\left( \prod_{p=1}^{k} x_{ip}^{\frac{1}{n}} \right)
\]

(0, b], then the above inequalities are reversed.
7. More remarks

**Remark 5** Letting $k = 2$ in the inequality (Equation 6.9) yields the inequality (Equation 1.4).

**Remark 6** Letting $h_1(t) = h_2(t) = h(t)$ in the first inequality in Corollary 6.2.3 for all $t \in [0, 1]$ yields the inequality (39) in Xi et al. (2014b).
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