



Received: 19 October 2015  
Accepted: 02 February 2016  
First Published: 08 February 2016

\*Corresponding author: Srinivasarao Thota, Department of Mathematics, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad 211004, India  
E-mail: [srinithota@gmail.com](mailto:srinithota@gmail.com)

Reviewing editor:  
Lishan Liu, Qufu Normal University, China

Additional information is available at the end of the article

## PURE MATHEMATICS | RESEARCH ARTICLE

# On a mixed interpolation with integral conditions at arbitrary nodes

Srinivasarao Thota<sup>1\*</sup> and Shiv Datt Kumar<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract:** In this paper, we present a symbolic algorithm for a mixed interpolation of the form

$$a \cos kx + b \sin kx + \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} c_i x^i, \quad s \geq 2,$$

where  $k > 0$  is a given parameter and the coefficients  $a, b$ , and  $c_0, \dots, c_{s-2}$  are determined by a given set of independent integral conditions at arbitrary nodes.

Implementation of the proposed algorithm in Maple is described and sample computations are provided. This algorithm will help to implement the manual calculations in commercial packages such as Mathematica, Matlab, Singular, Scilab, etc.

**Subjects:** Applied Mathematics; Computer Mathematics; Mathematics & Statistics; Science

**Keywords:** mixed interpolation; integral conditions; symbolic algorithm

**AMS subject classifications:** 41A05 ; 65D05 ; 47A57

### 1. Introduction

The interpolation problem naturally arises in many applications, for example, the orbit problems, quantum mechanical problems, etc. The general form of a mixed interpolation problem is as follows (Coleman, 1998; Lorentz, 2000; Sauer, 1997): suppose we have a normed linear space  $S$ , a finite linearly independent set  $\Theta \subset S$  of bounded functionals and an associated values  $\Sigma = \{\alpha_\theta; \theta \in \Theta\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . Then the *mixed interpolation problem* is to find an approximation  $\tilde{f}_s(x) \in S$  of the form

$$\tilde{f}_s(x) = a \cos kx + b \sin kx + \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} c_i x^i, \quad s \geq 2, \quad (1)$$

such that

$$\Theta(\tilde{f}_s) = \Sigma, \quad \text{i.e. } \theta \tilde{f}_s = \alpha_\theta, \quad \theta \in \Theta. \quad (2)$$



Srinivasarao Thota

### ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Srinivasarao Thota completed his MSc in Mathematics from Indian Institute of Technology Madras, India. Now he is pursuing his PhD in Mathematics from Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology Allahabad, India. Srinivasarao Thota's area of research interest is Computer Algebra, precisely symbolic methods for ordinary differential equations. He attended and presented research papers in several national and international conferences.

### PUBLIC INTEREST STATEMENT

We often come across a number of data points (obtained by sampling or experimentation) which represent the values of a function for a limited number of values of the independent variable, and the need to estimate the value of the function at other point of the independent variable. This may be achieved by interpolation. The interpolation problem naturally arises in many applications of science and engineering, for example, the orbit problems, solving differential and integral equations, quantum mechanical problems, etc. where we consider the *mixed interpolation* instead of the polynomial interpolation.

Here  $s$  is called the order of the interpolating function  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$ . One can observe that, the interpolation problem given in Equations (1), (2) may have many solutions if there is no restriction on the dimension of the space. But our interest is to find the single interpolating function that must match with a finite number of conditions. Hence for a unique solution of the problem, one must have finite dimensional subspace  $\Theta$  of  $S$  having dimension equal to the number of functionals.

The mixed interpolation problem, its formulation, and error estimation have been studied by several engineers and scientists with general nodes at uniformly spaced and arbitrary points on a chosen interval (see e.g. de Meyer, Vanthournout, & Vanden Berghe, 1990; de Meyer, Vanthournout, Vanden Berghe, & Vanderbauwhede, 1990; Chakrabarti & Hamsapriye, 1996; Coleman, 1998). In literature survey, we observe that there is no mixed interpolation algorithm available with integral conditions at arbitrary points on a chosen interval. Therefore, in this paper, we present a symbolic algorithm for the mixed interpolation with integral conditions using the algorithm presented by the authors in Thota and Kumar (2015). Indeed, we discuss a symbolic algorithm for mixed interpolation with a linearly independent set of the integral functionals/conditions at arbitrary nodes on a chosen interval. This is the first symbolic algorithm which deals with integral conditions. The rest of paper is organized as follows: Section 1.1 gives some definitions and basic concepts of the mixed interpolation, which are required to justify our proposed algorithm. Symbolic algorithm for the mixed interpolation with a finite linearly independent set of integral conditions is discussed in Section 2, the proposed algorithm for mixed interpolation is presented in Section 2.1 and some numerical examples are given in Section 2.2. Maple implementation of the proposed algorithm is presented in Section 3 with sample computations.

### 1.1. Preliminaries

In this section, we present some definitions and basic concepts of the mixed interpolation, which are required to justify our proposed algorithm.

*Definition 1* A mixed interpolation problem is called *regular* for subspace  $\mathcal{M}$  of linear space  $S$  with respect to  $\Theta$  if the interpolation problem has a unique solution for each choice of values of  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\Theta(\tilde{f}_s) = \Sigma$ . Otherwise, the interpolation problem is called *singular*.

*Definition 2* We call the triplet  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$  an *interpolation problem*, where  $M = \{\cos kx, \sin kx, 1, x, \dots, x^{s-2}\} \subset \mathcal{M}$  a basis for a finite dimensional space  $S$ , and  $\Theta \subset S^*$  a finite linearly independent set of functionals with associated values  $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}$ .

If  $\Sigma = \Theta\psi$ , for  $\psi \in S$ , then the interpolation problem  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$  can be stated in a different way equivalently: Let  $\Omega = \text{span}\{\theta: \theta \in \Theta\}$ . Then  $\Omega \subseteq S^*$  and the interpolation problem is to find a  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$  such that  $\Omega\tilde{f}_s = \Omega\psi$  for given  $\psi \in S$ . There is a connection between the regularity in terms of algebraic geometry and linear algebra as given in the following proposition.

*Proposition 1* Let  $M = \{m_0, \dots, m_t\}$  be a basis for  $\mathcal{M}$ , a finite dimensional subspace of  $S$ , and  $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_s\}$  be a finite linearly independent subset of  $S^*$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) The mixed interpolation problem is regular for  $\mathcal{M}$  with respected to  $\Theta$ .
- (ii)  $t = s$ , and the matrix, so-called *evaluation matrix*,

$$\Theta M = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 m_0 & \dots & \theta_0 m_t \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_s m_0 & \dots & \theta_s m_t \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(s+1) \times (s+1)} \tag{3}$$

is regular. Denote the evaluation matrix  $\Theta M$  by  $\mathcal{E}$  for simplicity.

- (iii)  $S = M \oplus \Theta^\perp$ .

If we denote the integral condition by a symbol/operator  $A_x$  defined by  $A_x \bullet = \int_p^x \bullet dx$ , i.e.  $A_x f(x) = \int_p^x f(x) dx$ , for a fixed  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the set of integral conditions is

$$\Theta = \{A_{x_0}, \dots, A_{x_s}\}, \tag{4}$$

where  $x_0, \dots, x_s$  are arbitrary nodes. Now, the symbolic representation of the mixed interpolation problem (1), (2) is to find a function of the form (1) such that

$$A_{x_i} \tilde{f}_s = \alpha_{x_i}, \text{ where } A_{x_i} \in \Theta. \tag{5}$$

**2. Symbolic algorithm for mixed interpolation**

Consider the mixed interpolation problem defined in Section 1 for  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$ , where  $M \subseteq \mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{S}$ , and  $\Theta = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_s\}$  a finite set of integral conditions of the form (4). From Proposition (3), the mixed interpolation problem is regular with respect to linearly independent set  $\Theta$  if and only if there exists a finite linearly independent set  $M$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  such that the evaluation matrix  $\mathcal{E}$  in (3) is regular.

**2.1. Proposed symbolic algorithm**

The mixed interpolation problem  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$ , i.e.  $\tilde{f}_s(x) = a \cos kx + b \sin kx + \sum_{i=0}^{s-2} c_i x^i$  such that it satisfy  $\Theta \tilde{f}_s = \Sigma$ , can be expressed as a linear system

$$\mathcal{E}u = \sigma, \tag{6}$$

where  $u = (a, b, c_0, \dots, c_{s-2})^T$ ,  $\sigma = (\alpha_{\theta_0}, \dots, \alpha_{\theta_s})^T$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  is the evaluation matrix of  $\Theta$  and  $M$  given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E} &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sin kx_0}{k} & -\frac{\cos kx_0}{k} & X_0 & \frac{x_0^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_0^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \frac{\sin kx_1}{k} & -\frac{\cos kx_1}{k} & X_1 & \frac{x_1^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_1^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sin kx_s}{k} & -\frac{\cos kx_s}{k} & X_s & \frac{x_s^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_s^{s-1}}{s-1} \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sin kp}{k} & -\frac{\cos kp}{k} & p & \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \frac{\sin kp}{k} & -\frac{\cos kp}{k} & p & \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sin kp}{k} & -\frac{\cos kp}{k} & p & \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sin kx_0}{k} - \frac{\sin kp}{k} & \frac{\cos kp}{k} - \frac{\cos kx_0}{k} & X_0 - p & \frac{x_0^2}{2} - \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_0^{s-1}}{s-1} - \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \frac{\sin kx_1}{k} - \frac{\sin kp}{k} & \frac{\cos kp}{k} - \frac{\cos kx_1}{k} & X_1 - p & \frac{x_1^2}{2} - \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_1^{s-1}}{s-1} - \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sin kx_s}{k} - \frac{\sin kp}{k} & \frac{\cos kp}{k} - \frac{\cos kx_s}{k} & X_s - p & \frac{x_s^2}{2} - \frac{p^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_s^{s-1}}{s-1} - \frac{p^{s-1}}{s-1} \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

*Remark* If  $p = 0$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  in Equation (7) is given by

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\sin kx_0}{k} & \frac{1-\cos kx_0}{k} & X_0 & \frac{x_0^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_0^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \frac{\sin kx_1}{k} & \frac{1-\cos kx_1}{k} & X_1 & \frac{x_1^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_1^{s-1}}{s-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\sin kx_s}{k} & \frac{1-\cos kx_s}{k} & X_s & \frac{x_s^2}{2} & \dots & \frac{x_s^{s-1}}{s-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Uniqueness of the solution is possible if and only if the evaluation matrix (7) is regular (non-singular). The simple form of the determinant of  $\mathcal{E}$  is given by

$$\det \mathcal{E} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^s X_i}{k^2 (s-1)!} \prod_{k < j} (X_j - X_k) \begin{vmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{\frac{\cos kx_i}{x_i}}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{s-1} (X_i - X_j)} & \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{\frac{\sin kx_i}{x_i}}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^{s-1} (X_i - X_j)} \\ \sum_{i=0}^s \frac{x_i}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^s (X_i - X_j)} & \sum_{i=0}^s \frac{x_i}{\prod_{j=0, j \neq i}^s (X_i - X_j)} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{8}$$

This simple form is obtained by performing the following steps:

- I. Dividing  $i$ -th row by  $x_i$  in the determinant of  $\mathcal{E}$  in Equation (7), we get

$$\det \mathcal{E} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^s x_i}{k^2 (s-1)!} \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\cos kx_0}{x_0} & \frac{\sin kx_0}{x_0} & 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^{s-2} \\ \frac{\cos kx_1}{x_1} & \frac{\sin kx_1}{x_1} & 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^{s-2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\cos kx_s}{x_s} & \frac{\sin kx_s}{x_s} & 1 & x_s & \dots & x_s^{s-2} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

II. Subtract first row from the other  $(i + 1)$ -th row, for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , and divide  $(i + 1)$ -th row by  $x_i - x_0$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , we get

$$\det \mathcal{E} = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^s x_i}{k^2 (s-1)!} \prod_{k=1}^s (x_k - x_0) \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\cos kx_0}{x_0} & \frac{\sin kx_0}{x_0} & 1 & x_0 & \dots & x_0^{s-2} \\ \frac{\cos kx_1 - \cos kx_0}{x_1 - x_0} & \frac{\sin kx_1 - \sin kx_0}{x_1 - x_0} & 0 & 1 & \dots & x_1^{s-3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\cos kx_s - \cos kx_0}{x_s - x_0} & \frac{\sin kx_s - \sin kx_0}{x_s - x_0} & 0 & 1 & \dots & x_s^{s-3} \end{vmatrix}.$$

This reduces to a determinant of a matrix of order  $s$  similar to (9).

III. Repeating the step II finite number of times, we arrive at the simple form of  $\det \mathcal{E}$  as in (8).

From the procedure given for simplification of the determinant of  $\mathcal{E}$ , we can construct the interpolating function  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$  for  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$  in terms of evaluation matrix. The following theorem presents an algorithm to construct  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$ . Denote  $D = \det(\mathcal{E})$  for simplicity.

**THEOREM 1** Let  $\Theta$  be a finite set of integral conditions of the form  $\Theta = \{A_{x_0}, \dots, A_{x_s}\}$  with associated values  $\Sigma$  and  $M = \{\cos kx, \sin kx, 1, \dots, x^{s-2}\} \subset S$  be a finite linearly independent set such that the evaluation matrix  $\mathcal{E}$  is regular. Then there exists unique interpolating function  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$  of the form (1), such that  $\Theta \tilde{f}_s = \Sigma$  as

$$\tilde{f}_s(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^1 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} \cos kx + \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^2 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} \sin kx + \sum_{l=0}^{s-2} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^{l+3} \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} x^l, \tag{10}$$

where  $D_j^i$  is the determinant of  $\mathcal{E}_j^i$  obtained from  $\mathcal{E}$  by replacing  $j$ -th column by the  $i$ -th unit vector.

*Proof* It is given that the evaluation matrix associated with  $\Theta$  and  $M$  is regular, therefore there exists unique mixed interpolation. Suppose  $D_k^1, D_k^2$  and  $D_k^{l+3}$  denote the determinants of the resultant matrix  $\mathcal{E}$  after replacing 1<sup>st</sup>, 2<sup>nd</sup>, and  $l$ th columns by  $k$ -unit vector, respectively, for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, s - 2$ , then the coefficients  $a, b, c_0, \dots, c_{s-2}$  are determined uniquely using the *Cramer's rule*, as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^1 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}}, \\ b &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^2 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}}, \\ c_l &= \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^{l+3} \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}}, \quad l = 0, 1, \dots, s - 2. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Now, the required interpolating function  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$  is the linear combination of elements of  $M$  with the coefficients  $a, b, c_0, \dots, c_{s-2}$ . Hence, we have

$$\tilde{f}_s(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^1 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} \cos kx + \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^2 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} \sin kx + \sum_{l=0}^{s-2} \sum_{k=1}^{s+1} D^{-1} D_k^{l+3} \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} x^l, \tag{12}$$

as stated. □

In general, it is very difficult to solve explicitly the linear system (6) for the coefficients  $a, b, c_0, \dots, c_{s-2}$  in terms of  $\Sigma$  at the interpolation points. However, we can express the coefficients of  $\tilde{f}_s(x)$  in terms of evaluation matrix as given Theorem 4.

### 2.2. Examples

Now to verify the proposed algorithm in Theorem 4, we present some examples. We use *Maple*, the computer algebra software, for numerical computations in the following examples.

**Example 2.1** Consider the integral conditions  $\int_0^{0.1} f(x)dx = k_1, \int_0^{0.3} f(x)dx = k_2, \int_0^{0.5} f(x)dx = k_3, \int_0^{0.8} f(x)dx = k_4$  and  $\int_0^{1.0} f(x)dx = k_5$ , where  $k_i$  is constant, for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ . Now we construct  $\tilde{f}_4(x) = a \cos kx + b \sin kx + c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2$  such that  $\tilde{f}_4(x)$  satisfies the given conditions. For simplicity, take  $k = 1$ . Here,  $\Theta = \{A_{0.1}, A_{0.3}, A_{0.5}, A_{0.8}, A_{1.0}\}, M = \{\cos x, \sin x, 1, x, x^2\}$ , and  $\Sigma = \{k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4, k_5\}$ . Following Theorem 4, we have the evaluation matrix

$$\mathcal{E} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.099833 & 0.004996 & 0.1 & 0.005 & 0.000333 \\ 0.295520 & 0.044664 & 0.3 & 0.045 & 0.009000 \\ 0.479426 & 0.122417 & 0.5 & 0.125 & 0.041667 \\ 0.717356 & 0.303293 & 0.8 & 0.320 & 0.170667 \\ 0.841471 & 0.459698 & 1.0 & 0.500 & 0.333333 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D = \det \mathcal{E} = 7.19735 \times 10^{-11}; D^{-1} = 1.3894 \times 10^{10},$$

$$D_1^1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0.004996 & 0.1 & 0.005 & 0.000333 \\ 0 & 0.044664 & 0.3 & 0.045 & 0.009000 \\ 0 & 0.122417 & 0.5 & 0.125 & 0.041667 \\ 0 & 0.303293 & 0.8 & 0.320 & 0.170667 \\ 0 & 0.459698 & 1.0 & 0.500 & 0.333333 \end{pmatrix} = 0.15028 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$D_2^1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0.099833 & 0 & 0.1 & 0.005 & 0.000333 \\ 0.295520 & 1 & 0.3 & 0.045 & 0.009000 \\ 0.479426 & 0 & 0.5 & 0.125 & 0.041667 \\ 0.717356 & 0 & 0.8 & 0.320 & 0.170667 \\ 0.841471 & 0 & 1.0 & 0.500 & 0.333333 \end{pmatrix} = -0.18396 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$D_3^1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0.099833 & 0.004996 & 0 & 0.005 & 0.000333 \\ 0.295520 & 0.044664 & 0 & 0.045 & 0.009000 \\ 0.479426 & 0.122417 & 1 & 0.125 & 0.041667 \\ 0.717356 & 0.303293 & 0 & 0.320 & 0.170667 \\ 0.841471 & 0.459698 & 0 & 0.500 & 0.333333 \end{pmatrix} = 0.13131 \times 10^{-5}$$

$$D_4^1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0.099833 & 0.004996 & 0.1 & 0 & 0.000333 \\ 0.295520 & 0.044664 & 0.3 & 0 & 0.009000 \\ 0.479426 & 0.122417 & 0.5 & 0 & 0.041667 \\ 0.717356 & 0.303293 & 0.8 & 1 & 0.170667 \\ 0.841471 & 0.459698 & 1.0 & 0 & 0.333333 \end{pmatrix} = -4.82523 \times 10^{-7}$$

$$D_5^1 = \det \begin{pmatrix} 0.099833 & 0.004996 & 0.1 & 0.005 & 0 \\ 0.295520 & 0.044664 & 0.3 & 0.045 & 0 \\ 0.479426 & 0.122417 & 0.5 & 0.125 & 0 \\ 0.717356 & 0.303293 & 0.8 & 0.320 & 0 \\ 0.841471 & 0.459698 & 1.0 & 0.500 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = 1.31086 \times 10^{-7},$$

hence,

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 D_k^1 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} = 0.15028 \times 10^{-5} k_1 - 0.18396 \times 10^{-5} k_2 + 0.13131 \times 10^{-5} k_3 \\ - 4.82523 \times 10^{-7} k_4 + 1.31086 \times 10^{-7} k_5,$$

similarly,

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 D_k^2 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} = 8.60525 \times 10^{-7} k_1 - 9.57686 \times 10^{-7} k_2 + 6.17919 \times 10^{-7} k_3 \\ - 1.92581 \times 10^{-7} k_4 + 4.63585 \times 10^{-8} k_5,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 D_k^3 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} = -0.15011 \times 10^{-5} k_1 + 0.18389 \times 10^{-5} k_2 - 0.13128 \times 10^{-5} k_3 \\ + 4.82459 \times 10^{-7} k_4 - 1.31072 \times 10^{-7} k_5,$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 D_k^4 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} = -8.86346 \times 10^{-7} k_1 + 9.77076 \times 10^{-7} k_2 - 6.26828 \times 10^{-7} k_3 \\ + 1.94676 \times 10^{-7} k_4 - 4.68155 \times 10^{-8} k_5$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^5 D_k^5 \alpha_{\theta_{k-1}} = 8.52374 \times 10^{-7} k_1 - 0.10177 \times 10^{-5} k_2 + 7.11690 \times 10^{-7} k_3 \\ - 2.55717 \times 10^{-7} k_4 + 6.88081 \times 10^{-8} k_5,$$

and the coefficients are given by

$$a = 20904.99k_1 - 25589.48k_2 + 18265.09k_3 - 6712.06k_4 + 1823.45k_5$$

$$b = 11970.22k_1 - 13321.75k_2 + 8595.47k_3 - 2678.87k_4 + 644.86k_5,$$

$$c_0 = -20881.23k_1 + 25580.00k_2 - 18261.10k_3 + 6711.16k_4 - 1823.26k_5,$$

$$c_1 = -12329.34k_1 + 13591.47k_2 - 8719.40k_3 + 2708.02k_4 - 651.22k_5,$$

$$c_2 = 11856.84k_1 - 14156.85k_2 + 9899.85k_3 - 3557.12k_4 + 957.14k_5.$$

Now the solution of the mixed interpolation  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$  is

$$\tilde{f}_4(x) = (20904.99k_1 - 25589.48k_2 + 18265.09k_3 - 6712.06k_4 + 1823.45k_5) \cos x \\ + (11970.22k_1 - 13321.75k_2 + 8595.47k_3 - 2678.87k_4 + 644.86k_5) \sin x \\ - 20881.23k_1 + 25580.00k_2 - 18261.10k_3 + 6711.16k_4 - 1823.26k_5 \\ + (-12329.34k_1 + 13591.47k_2 - 8719.40k_3 + 2708.02k_4 - 651.22k_5)x \\ + (11856.84k_1 - 14156.85k_2 + 9899.85k_3 - 3557.12k_4 + 957.14k_5)x^2$$

In particular, if we choose  $k_i = i$ , for  $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , then

$$a = 6790.30, b = 3621.97, c_0 = -6776.17, c_1 = -3728.64, c_2 = 3799.92$$

and the solution of the interpolation  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$  is

$$\tilde{f}_4(x) = 6790.30 \cos x + 3621.97 \sin x - 6776.17 - 3728.64x + 3799.92x^2.$$

One can easily check in both the cases that  $\Theta(\tilde{f}_4) = \Sigma$ .

*Example 2.2* Suppose we have integral conditions  $\int_0^{0.1} f(x)dx = 1, \int_0^{0.2} f(x)dx = 3,$   
 $\int_0^{0.3} f(x)dx = 4, \int_0^{0.4} f(x)dx = 5, \int_0^{0.5} f(x)dx = 6, \int_0^{0.6} f(x)dx = 7, \int_0^{0.7} f(x)dx = 9, \int_0^{0.8} f(x)dx = 13, \int_0^{0.9} f(x)dx = 15$   
 and  $\int_0^{1.0} f(x)dx = 16$ . Now we construct  $\tilde{f}_9(x) = a \cos kx + b \sin kx + c_0 + c_1x + c_2x^2 + \dots + c_7x^7$  such

that  $\tilde{f}_9(x)$  satisfies the given conditions. For simplicity, take  $k = 0.5$ . In symbolic notations, we have  $\Theta = \{A_{0,1}, A_{0,2}, A_{0,3}, A_{0,4}, A_{0,5}, A_{0,6}, A_{0,7}, A_{0,8}, A_{0,9}, A_{1,0}\}$ ,  $M = \{\cos(0.5x), \sin(0.5x), 1, x, \dots, x^7\}$  and  $\Sigma = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 13, 15, 16\}$ . From Theorem 4, the coefficients are computed similar to Example 2.1 as follows

$$\begin{aligned} a &= -1.496313140 \times 10^9, & b &= 3.349193220 \times 10^{10}, \\ c_0 &= 1.496313072 \times 10^9, & c_1 &= -1.674596380 \times 10^{10}, \\ c_2 &= -1.87067734 \times 10^8, & c_3 &= 6.978924923 \times 10^8, \\ c_4 &= 3.516587822 \times 10^6, & c_5 &= -8.177983600 \times 10^6, \\ c_6 &= -4.298813138 \times 10^5, & c_7 &= 1.677402086 \times 10^5. \end{aligned}$$

Now, the interpolating function  $\tilde{f}_9(x)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_9(x) &= -1.496313140 \times 10^9 \cos(0.5x) + 3.349193220 \times 10^{10} \sin(0.5x) \\ &\quad + 1.496313072 \times 10^9 - 1.674596380 \times 10^{10}x - 1.87067734 \times 10^8x^2 \\ &\quad + 6.978924923 \times 10^8x^3 + 3.516587822 \times 10^6x^4 - 8.177983600 \times 10^6x^5 \\ &\quad - 4.298813138 \times 10^5x^6 + 1.677402086 \times 10^5x^7. \end{aligned}$$

If we choose  $k = 2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_9(x) &= -3.85899899 \times 10^8 \cos(2x) + 2.91356330 \times 10^8 \sin(2x) \\ &\quad + 3.858998408 \times 10^8 - 5.82709230 \times 10^8x - 7.71845620 \times 10^8x^2 \\ &\quad + 3.88781409 \times 10^8x^3 + 2.560552184 \times 10^8x^4 - 7.4670907 \times 10^7x^5 \\ &\quad - 3.9114135 \times 10^7x^6 + 1.208298237 \times 10^7x^7. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily verify in both cases that  $\Theta(\tilde{f}_9) = \Sigma$ .

The following section presents the implementation of the proposed algorithm in Maple.

### 3. Maple implementation

Maple implementation of the proposed algorithm is presented by creating different data types using the Maple package `IntDiffOp` implemented by Korporal, Regensburger, and Rosenkranz (2010). For displaying the operators, we have `A` for integral operator and `E` for evaluation operator as defined in `IntDiffOp` package, i.e.  $A_x = E[X].A$ .

The data type `IntegralCondition(np)` is created to represent the integral condition, where `np` is the node point.

```
with(IntDiffOp) :
IntegralCondition := proc(np)
return BOUNDOP(EVOP(np, EVDIFFOP(0), EVINTOP(EVINTTERM(1, 1))) );
end proc;
```

The following producer `EvaluationMatrix(IC)` gives the evaluation matrix of the given `M` and  $\Theta$ , where `IC` is the column matrix of the integral conditions.

```
EvaluationMatrix := proc (IC::Matrix)
local r,c,elts,fs;
r,c:=LinearAlgebra[Dimension](IC);
fs:=Matrix(1, r, [cos(k*x), sin(k*x), seq(x^(i-1), i=1..r-2)]);
elts:=seq(seq(ApplyOperator(IC[t,1], fs[1,j]), j=1..r), t=1..r);
```

```
return Matrix(r,r,[elts]);
end proc;
```

The procedure MixedInterpolation(IC,CM) is created to find the mixed interpolating function for  $(M, \Theta, \Sigma)$ , where CM is column matrix of the associated values of  $\Sigma$ .

```
MixedInterpolation := proc (IC::Matrix, CM::Matrix)
local r,c,fs,evm,invevm,approx;
r,c:=LinearAlgebra[Dimension](IC);
fs: Matrix(1,r,[cos(k*x),sin(k*x),seq(x^(i-1),i=1..r-2)]);
evm:=EvaluationMat(IC);
invevm:=1/evm; approx:=fs.invevm.CM;
return simplify(approx[1,1]);
end proc;
```

Example 3.1 Recall Example 2.1 for sample computations using Maple implementation.

```
> with(IntDiffOp);
> C1:=IntegralCondition(0.1); c1:=1;
C2:=IntegralCondition(0.3); c2:=2;
C3:=IntegralCondition(0.5); c3:=3;
C4:=IntegralCondition(0.8); c4:=4;
C5:=IntegralCondition(1.0); c5:=5;

C1:=E[.1].A
c1:=1
C2:=E[.3].A
c2:=2
C3:=E[.5].A
c3:=3
C4:=E[.8].A
c4:=4
C5:=E[1.0].A
c4:=5
> k:=1;
1

> C:=Matrix([[C1],[C2],[C3],[C4],[C5]]);
```

$$\begin{bmatrix} E[.1].A \\ E[.3].A \\ E[.5].A \\ E[.8].A \\ E[1.0].A \end{bmatrix}$$



```
CM:=Matrix([ [c1], [c2], [c3], [c4], [c5]] );
```

```
[ 1 ]  
[ 2 ]  
[ 3 ]  
[ 4 ]  
[ 5 ]
```

```
EvaluationMat (C) ;
```

```
[ 0.09983341665  0.004995834722  0.1  0.005  0.0003333 ]  
[ 0.2955202067  0.04466351087  0.3  0.045  0.009000 ]  
[ 0.4794255386  0.1224174381  0.5  0.125  0.041667 ]  
[ 0.7173560909  0.3032932907  0.8  0.320  0.170667 ]  
[ 0.8414709848  0.4596976941  1.0  0.500  0.333333 ]
```

```
> MixedInterpolation (C, CM) ;
```

```
- 6776.165565 - 3728.640530 * x + 6790.295165 * cos(x)  
+ 3621.967910 * sin(x) + 3799.917488 * x2
```

#### Acknowledgements

Authors thank the referees for useful suggestions in improving the presentation of the paper.

#### Funding

The authors received no direct funding for this research.

#### Author details

Srinivasarao Thota<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [srinithota@ymail.com](mailto:srinithota@ymail.com)  
Shiv Datt Kumar<sup>1</sup>  
E-mail: [sdt@mnnit.ac.in](mailto:sdt@mnnit.ac.in)

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics, Motilal Nehru National Institute of Technology, Allahabad, 211004, India.

#### Citation information

Cite this article as: On a mixed interpolation with integral conditions at arbitrary nodes, Srinivasarao Thota & Shiv Datt Kumar, *Cogent Mathematics* (2016), 3: 1151613.

#### References

Chakrabarti, A. (1996). Hamsapriye: Derivation of a general mixed interpolation formula. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 70, 161–172.

Coleman, J. P. (1998). Mixed interpolation methods with arbitrary nodes. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 92, 69–83.

de Meyer, H., Vanthournout, J., & Vanden, G. (1990). Berghe: On a new type of mixed interpolation. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 30, 55–69.

de Meyer, H., Vanthournout, J., Vanden Berghe, G., & Vanderbauwhede, A. (1990). On the error estimation for a mixed type of interpolation. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 32, 407–415.

Korporal, A., Regensburger, G., & Rosenkranz, M. (2010). A Maple package for integro-differential operators and boundary problems. *ACM Communications in Computer Algebra*, 44, 120–122.

Lorentz, R. A. (2000). Multivariate Hermite interpolation by algebraic polynomials: A survey. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 122, 167–201.

Sauer, T. (1997). Polynomial interpolation, ideals and approximation order of refinable functions. *Proceedings American Mathematical Society*, 130, 3335–3347.

Thota, S., & Kumar, S. D. (2015). Symbolic method for polynomial interpolation with stieltjes conditions. *Proceedings of International Conference on Frontiers in Mathematics*, 1, 225–228.



© 2016 The Author(s). This open access article is distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) 4.0 license.

You are free to:

Share — copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format

Adapt — remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

The licensor cannot revoke these freedoms as long as you follow the license terms.

Under the following terms:

Attribution — You must give appropriate credit, provide a link to the license, and indicate if changes were made.

You may do so in any reasonable manner, but not in any way that suggests the licensor endorses you or your use.

No additional restrictions

You may not apply legal terms or technological measures that legally restrict others from doing anything the license permits.



**Cogent Mathematics (ISSN: 2331-1835) is published by Cogent OA, part of Taylor & Francis Group.**

**Publishing with Cogent OA ensures:**

- Immediate, universal access to your article on publication
- High visibility and discoverability via the Cogent OA website as well as Taylor & Francis Online
- Download and citation statistics for your article
- Rapid online publication
- Input from, and dialog with, expert editors and editorial boards
- Retention of full copyright of your article
- Guaranteed legacy preservation of your article
- Discounts and waivers for authors in developing regions

**Submit your manuscript to a Cogent OA journal at [www.CogentOA.com](http://www.CogentOA.com)**

